

THOMAS MUIR ESO," YOUNGER

of

Published us the Act Directs by F. Robertlin 792

oi M

THE

TRIAL

in the transfer to the termination of the termination

For purity of programmer Car Tom of Section of Carlo esch

THOMAS MUIR, Efg;

Younger, of Huntershill.

her and the first that the first

THE Members of the Court of Justiciary assembled precisely at ten o'clock in the forenoon. Mr. Muir, who had obtained his liberation upon bail, soon afterwards appeared, and placed himself at the bar.

His Majesty's Advocate, for his Majesty's interest, was then in the usual form, called against the Pannel. Mr. Muir was desired by the Lord Justice Clerk to listen to the Indictment against him, which was to be read immediately by the Clerk of the Court. Of that Indictment the following is a true copy.

"GEORGE &c. WHEREAS it is humbly meant and complained to us by our right trufty ROBERT DUNDAS, Efq. of Arniston, our Advocate for our interest, upon THOMAS MUIR, younger, of Huntershill, THAT, by the laws of this and every other well governed realm, the wickedly

A

and feloniously exciting, by means of SEDITIOUS SPEECHES and HARANGUES, a spirit of disloyalty and disaffection to the King and the Established Government; MORE ESPECIALLY, when fuch fpeeches and harangues are addressed to Meetings or Convocations of Persons, brought together by no lawful authority, and attered by one who is the chief Instrument of calling together such Meetings; AS ALSO, the wickedly and feloniously ADVISING and EXHORTING persons to purchase and peruse seditious and wicked publications and writings, calculated to produce a spirit of disloyalty and disaffection to the King and Government; AS ALSO, the wickedly and feloniously DISTRIBUTING or CIRCULATING any feditious writing or publication of the tendency aforefaid, or the CAU-SING distribute or circulate any fuch feditious writing or publication: AS ALSO, the wickedly and feloniously PRO-DUCING and READING ALOUD, in a public meeting or convocation of perfons, a feditions and inflammatory writing, tending to produce in the minds of the people a spirit of insurrection and of opposition to the Established Government: AND, the PUBLICLY APPROVING of, and RECOMMENDING, in faid meeting, fuch feditious and inflammatory writing, are all and each, or one or other of them, crimes of an heinous nature, dangerous to the public peace, and feverely punishable: YET TRUE IT IS, AND OF VERITY, that the faid Thomas Muir is guilty actor, art and part, of all and each, or one or other, of the faid crimes, aggravated as aforefaid: IN SO FAR AS on the 3d day of November 1792, or on one or other, of the days of that month, or of October immediately preceding, or of December immediately following, the faid Thomas Muir having been present at a meeting in the town of Kirkintilloch, parish of Kirkintilloch, and county of Dunbarton, denominated, 'A Society for Reform,' or bearing fome fuch name; and also, having fometime during the course of the said month, of November aforesaid, been present at another meeting at Miltoun, parish of

Camplie, and county of Stirling, which meeting was also denominated, 'A Society for Reform,' or bore some such name, (and both of which focieties above-mentioned the faid Thomas Muir was the chief mean of instituting and forming); he did, at times and places forefaid, with wicked and feditious intention, address and harangue the faid meetings; in which speeches and harangues, the faid Thomas Muir did feditiously endeavour to represent the Government of this country as oppressive and tyrannical, and the Legislative Body of the state as venal and corrupt, particularly by instituting a comparison between the pretended existing Government of France and the Constitution of Great Britain, with respect to the expences necessary for carrying on the functions of Government; he endeavoured to vilify the Monarchical part of the constitution, and to reprefent it as useless, cumbersome, and expensive: AT LEAST, the faid Thomas Muir did use words and arguments of the above feditious tendency and import. FURTHER, the faid Thomas Muir did, fometime during the course of September, October, or November 1792, in the town of Glafgow in the county of Lanark, Kirkintilloch in the parish of Kirkintilloch, and county of Dunbarton, and Miltoun in the parish of Campsie and county of Stirling aforesaid, and elsewhere, wickedly and feloniously exhort and advise several persons to purchase and peruse various seditious pamphlets and writings; PARTICULARLY, the faid Thomas Muir did, fometime in the months aforefaid, within his father's house at Glasgow aforesaid, or some other place to the Public Profecutor unknown, wickedly and feloniously advise and exhort John Muir fenior, late hatter in Glafgow, Thomas Wilson barber in Glasgow, and John Barclay residing in the parish of Calder and county of Lanark, to read Paine's Rights of Man, and to purchase the same; which book or pamphlet entituled, Paine's Rights of Man, is a most wicked and feditious publication, calculated to vilify the Con. stitution of this country, to produce a spirit of insurrection among the people, and to stir them up to acts of outrage and

opposition to the Established Government. FURTHER, the faid Thomas Muir did, in the course of the months of September, October, or November aforefaid, wickedly and feloniously distribute and circulate, or cause to be distributed and circulated, in the towns of Glasgow, Kirkintilloch, and Miltoun aforefaid, and at Lennoxtoun in the faid parish of Campfie and county of Stirling, or elsewhere, a number of seditious and inflammatory writings or pamphlets; particularly a book or pamphet entituled, 'The Works of Thomas Paine, 'Esq;' Also, a writing or publication, entituled, 'A De-' claration of Rights, and an Address to the People, appro-' ved of by a number of the Friends of Reform in Paisley;' Also, a paper or publication entituled, 'A Dialogue be-'twixt the Governors and the Governed;' Also, a paper or publication, entituled 'The Patriot:' Particularly, the faid Thomas Muir did, fome time in the month of October or November aforefaid, at Kirkintilloch aforefaid, or at fome other place to the Public Profecuter unknown, wickedly and feloniously deliver and put into the hands of Henry Freeland weaver in Kirkintilloch a feditious book or pamphlet, entituled, 'The Works of Thomas Paine, Efg;' which the faid Henry Freeland carried away with him; which book or pamphlet, along with the other wicked, feditious, and inflammatory passages, contains the following:

Paine's Works, p. 13. 'Monarchy is ranked in Scripture as one of the fins of the Jews, for which a curse in referve is denounced against them.

P. 20. 'In short, Monarchy and succession have laid not this or that kingdom only, but the world, in blood and ashes; it is a form of Government which the Word of God bears testimony against, and blood will attend it.

P. 21 'Why is the Constitution of England fickly, but because Monarchy hath poisoned the Republic?—the 'Crown hath engrossed the Commons.

'In England a King hath little more to do than to make 'war, and to give away places; which in plain terms is to 'impoverish the nation, and set it together by the ears. A

- · pretty bufiness indeed for a man to be allowed eight hun-
- ' dred thousand pounds Sterling a-year for, and worshipped
- ' into the bargain! Of more worth is one honest man to
- ' fociety, and in the fight of God, than all the crowned ruf-
- ' fians that ever lived.
- P. 78. 'What are the present governments in Europe but
- 'a scene of iniquity and oppression! What is that of Eng-
- 'land? Do not its own inhabitants fay it is a market
- ' where every man has his price, and where corruption is
- ' common traffick, at the expence of a deluded people? No
- ' wonder then that the French Revolution is traduced.
- P. 85. 'But the fecond head, that of a nation establishing
- 'a particular family with bereditary powers, does not present
- ' itself as despotism, on the first reslection; but, if men will
- ' permit a fecond reflection to take place, and carry that
- ' reflection forward but one remove out of their own per-
- ' fons to that of their offspring, they will then fee that he-
- ' reditary succession becomes in its consequences the same
- ' despotism to others which they reprobate it for themselves.
- P. 86. It operates to preclude the confent of the succeed-
- ' ing generation, and the preclusion of confent is despotism.
- Part II. p. 30. 'All hereditary government is in its
- 'nature tyranny. An heritable crown, or an heritable
- 'throne, or by whatever fanciful name fuch things may
- 'be called, have no other fignificant explanation, than that
- ' mankind are heritable property. To inherit a govern-
- 'ment is to inherit the people, as if they were flocks and
- 'herds.
- P. 51. 'The act called the Bill of Rights comes here
- 'into view. What is it but a bargain which the parts of
- ' the government made with each other to divide powers,
- 'profits, and privileges? You shall have so much, and I
- ' shall have the rest; and with respect to the nation, it said,
- for your share You shall have the right of petitioning. This
- being the case, the Bill of Rights is more properly a Bill
- of Wrongs, and of infult.
 - P. 54. 'The attention of the Government of England

for I rather choose to call it by this name, than the En-

' glish Government) appears, since its political connection

' with Germany, to have been fo completely engrossed and

absorbed by foreign affairs, and the means of raising

' taxes, that it feems to exist for no other purposes. Do-

' mestic concerns are neglected; and with respect to regu-

' lar law, there is fcarcely fuch a thing.

P. 126. 'The time is not very distant when England will laugh at itself for sending to Holland, Hanover, Zel, or Brunswick, for men, at the expence of a million a-year, who understood neither her laws, her language, nor her

interest, and whose capacities would scarcely have fitted

' them for the office of a parish constable. If government

' could be trusted to such hands, it must be some easy and

' fimple thing indeed, and materials fit for all the pur-

' poses may be found in every town and village in England.'

AND the faid Thomas Muir did, some time in October or November aforesaid, within his own or his father's house at Huntershill, in the county of Lanark, or at some other place to the Public Prosecutor unknown, wickedly and feloniously put into the hands of William Muir, weaver in Kirkintilloch, eleven numbers of a feditious book or pamphlet, entituled, 'The Patriot,' which the said William Muir carried away with him, and kept possession of; and which book or pamphlet contained among others the following seditious passages:

Patriot, No. V. p. 168, and 169. 'They have lost the distinguishing character between freemen and slaves; they have lost the distinguishing character of Englishmen! They have lost what the most tyrannical Kings of England would never force from them! They have, in a great measure, lost what their forefathers fpent their blood and treasure to defend—the greatest jewel that any people can posses—their constitutional and natural liberty—their birth-right and inheritance derived from God and nature! They have lost the constitutional

· means of redress for all their grievances! What is it in-

' deed they have not loft by that hated septennial law, which

' has fettered down the elective power of the people, like

'a dog to a manger, who is only fuffered to go abroad once

' in feven years for an airing!'

No. VI. p. 184, & 185. 'Rouse then ye Britons! A'wake from the slumbering state of apathy in which you have
'so long suffered yourselves ingloriously to remain! Open
'your eyes to the injuries which have been heaped on you;
'and affert your right to have them redressed. Evince to all
'the world that you are the true descendants and sons of your
'once famed glorious ancestors; prove yourselves worthy to
'inherit, in its highest degree of perfection, that constitution
'which they raised by their valour and cemented with their
'blood:---Raise your voice---the voice of the people---and
'sound in the ears of Tyrants, and their abettors, that
'you will be free, and you are so: That voice is the noble,
'the mighty stat, which none can, or dare to, attempt to
'gainsay.'

No. XI. p. 375 'And what would he (Earl of Chatham) have thought, if he had lived to hear people now talk of a happy and glorious constitution, evidently built upon corruption and supported by peculation? And what would he have conjectured, had he seen a proclamation issued to intimidate and prevent the people from exercising their right of conferring upon, and publishing their grievances?'

No. XII. p. 414. 'But we should ask, here, what is the difference between a King of England taxing his subjects withoutcon sent of Parliament, and taxing them with the consent of Parliament, which Parliament the King, or his Minister, can influence as he pleases to approve of war or peace, and to vote such supplies as may be required; no matter how grievous or burdensome, nor for what base or corrupt purposes they are granted: One would think that the latter method is equally oppressive, and deserving of reprobation; for being done with a seeming legality, and

under a form and femblance of constitutional procedure.

' the people are made parties to their own oppression, and

' the greatest infults are added to the heaviest injuries.' P. 419. 'Here we see clearly the origin of the immense overgrown landed property of our race of nobles and rich ' commoners; a right founded in murder, defolation, ra-' pine, and profcription of the first owners and holders of ' the landed property in this kingdom, among our Saxon, ancestors; and by this we may easily trace the means by ' which our nobility are at this moment not only in poffef-' fession of one branch of the legislature by hereditary claim, ' but by which they have also monopolifed, with the addi-' tion of a few rich commoners, the majority of voice in the ' Honse of Commons, which, shame to tell, is bare-facedly ' called the Representation of the People. This we pledge ourselves to prove to the satisfaction of our readers in the course of this work, and indeed of a few more numbers.' And the faid paper or publication, entituled, 'A Decla-' ration of Rights, and Address to the People, approved of

by a number of the Friends of Reform in Paisley,' distributed and circulated as aforefaid, contained the following passages:

Paisley Declaration, p. 4. '1. Being subject to the legis-' lation of persons whom other men have placed over you, it is ' evident you are denied that which is the right of every one, and without which none are free. For to be enflaved, is to ' have no will of your own in the choice of those law-makers, ' which have power over your properties, your families, your ' lives, and liberties. Those who have no votes for electing ' representatives are not free, as the rights of nature, and 'the principles of our constitution, require, but are en-' flaved to the representatives of those who have votes.'

P. 5. '3. Should you not affociate in your own cause, and ' with one voice? the voice of united millions, demand reform in the national representation.

P. 8. ' 10. But fuch a Parliament cannot be had unless we ' will revert to the first principles of our constitution, which

we have fo shamefully abandoned. A government where the executive and legislative power meet in a fingle perfon has no more pretence to freedom; it is perfect defpotism; and the people who submit to it are in a state of · flavery. If the will of the Prince must be law, in what manner it is announced, whether the mandate iffue direct-'ly from the throne itself, or through the medium of the House of Commons, is a matter of indifference. If that ' affembly is no longer the representative of the people, the constitution is changed. If those men who are said to re-' present us are only the registers of the Royal edicts, the ' government is degenerated into an absolute Monarchy. Since electing a Parliament is our only fecurity against an arbitrary power in the Crown, election itself must be ' not only the common right, but the common duty, of all " the people."

P. 15. 'But the evils of long Parliaments --- are they not written in tears and in blood? And have they left us aught ' of liberty but the name? With the poor exception, then, of one year of freedom in feven, and that in favour of not one-seventh, part of the nation, it is demonstrated that you ' are constantly taxed without being represented, and compelled to obey laws to which you never gave affent. Are not thefe ' the very definitions of flavery? And, are you not thus ' degraded to a level with the very cattle in the field, and ' the sheep in the fold; which are a property to those who 'rule over them, and bave no power to fay, why are we bought and fold? why are we yoked and laden with hea-'vy burdens? why are we fleeced and led to the flaughter? 'Demand then with one voice, friends and countrymen, ' that share in making your own laws to which, by the con-'fitution and the laws of nature, you are entitled; call for the Bill which would restore your lost constitution, and recover your stolen rights! Pursue the only course which can ever effect any confiderable reduction of debts and taxes, or materially advance the interest of manufactures and commerce! In short, be free, prosperous, and happy! and give your posterity the same cause to revere

your memories, as you have to bless those progenitors who

'left you an inheritance in a free constitution.'

And the above writing or publication, entituled, 'A 'Dialogue between the Governors and the Governed,' distributed and circulated as aforesaid, contained, among others, the following passage:

Dialogues. 'Civil Governors. The law enacts that ye be submissive.

- ' People. The law is the general will, a new order.
- ' Civil Governors. You will be a rebellious people.
- ' People. Nations cannot revolt; tyrants are the only rebels.
- " Civil Governors. The King is with us, and he commands you to fubmit.
- 'People. The Kingly office originates in the people, who elect one of themselves to execute it for the general good.
- Kings, therefore, are effentially indivisible from their na-
- ' tions. The King of ours, then, cannot be with you; you
- 'only possess his phantom. And the Military Governors
- ' stepping forward, faid, "The people are timid, let us
- "menace them, they only obey force. Soldiers, chaftise
- " this infolent rabble."
- ' People. Soldiers, you are of our own blood! Will you
- 'frike your brothers? If the people perish, who will main-
- ' tain the army ?---And the foldiers grounding their arms,
- ' faid to their chiefs, "We also are the people, we are
- "the enemies of -----" Whereupon the Ecclefiasti-
- 'cal Governors faid, "There is now but one refource left.
- "The people are superstitious; we must frighten them
- " with the name of God, and of Religion .--- Our dearly be-
- "loved brethren, our children! God has appointed us to govern you."
 - · People. Produce to us your heavenly powers.
- 'Priests. You must have faith, reason will lead you a-
 - · People. Do you govern then without reason?

- Prie 1s. God ordains peace: Religion prescribes obe-
- · People. Peace presupposes justice; obedience has a right to know the law it bows to.
 - · Priests. Man is only born into this world to suffer.
 - · People. Do you then fet us the example.
 - · Priests. Will you live without Gods and without Kings?
- ' People. We will live without Tyrants, without Imposters.'

FURTHER, the faid Thomas Muir having, upon the 11th, 12th, or 13th days of December 1792, or on one or other of the days of that month, been present at a meeting calling itself 'The Convention of Delegates of the Affociated 'Friends of the People,' or affuming some such name; which meeting was held in a room commonly called Laurie's Room, in James's Court, in the City of Edinburgh, he did then and there, with a wicked and feditious defign, produce, and read aloud to the faid meeting, a writing or paper, entituled, 'Address from the Society of United ' Irishmen in Dublin, to the Delegates for promoting a 'Reform in Scotland.' Which writing or paper was of a most inflammatory and seditious tendency, falsely and infidiously representing the Irish and Scotch nations as in a flate of downright oppression, and exciting the people rebelliously to rife up and oppose the government. which paper or writing, among other passages, contained the following:

- P. 1. 'We take the liberty of addressing you in the spirit of civic-union, in the fellowship of a just and common cause.
- 'We greatly rejoice that the spirit of freedom moves over
- ' the furface of Scotland, that light feems to break from
- ' the chaos of her internal government, and that a country
- ' so respectable for her attainments in science, in arts, and
- ' in arms, for men of literary eminence, for the intelligence
- ' and morality of her people, now acts from a conviction
- ' of the union between virtue, letters, and liberty; and

'now rifes to distinction, not by a calm, contented, secret wish for a reform in Parliament, but by openly, actively, and urgently willing it, with the unity and energy of an embodied nation. We rejoice that you do not consider yourselves as merged and melted down into another country, but that in this great national question you are still Scotland.'---Also,

We will lay open to you our hearts: Our cause is your cause. If there is to be a struggle between us, let it be, which nation shall be foremost in the race of mind. Let ' this be the noble animofity kindled between us, who shall 'first attain that free constitution from which both are ' equi-diftant, Who shall first be the saviour of the empire. 'The fense of both countries, with respect to the intole-' rable abuses of the constitution, has been clearly manifest-'ed, and proves that our political fituations are not diffi-' milar, that our rights and wrongs are the fame.'--- Alfo, 'We will not be the dupes of fuch ignoble artifices. We fee this scheme, of strengthening political persecution and ftate inquisition, by a fresh infusion of religious fana-'ticism. But we will unite, and we will be free. Uni-'verfal emancipation, with representative legislature, is 'the polar principle which guides our Society, and shall ' guide it, through all the tumult of factions, and fluctua-'tions of parties. It is not upon a coalition of Opposition ' with Ministry that we depend, but upon a coalition of 'Irishmen with Irishmen; and in that coalition alone we ' find an object worthy of reform, and, at the same time, the strength and finew both to attain and secure it. It is 'not upon external circumstances, upon the pledge of man or minister, we depend, but upon the internal energy of ' the Irish nation .-- We will not buy or borrow liberty from America or from France, but manufacture it for ourselves, and work it up with those materials which the hearts of Irishmen furnish them with at home .--- We do ' not worship the British, far less the Irish constitution, as 'fent down from heaven; but we confider it as human

workmanship, which man has made, and man can mend.
An unalterable constitution, whatever be its nature, must be despotism. It is not the constitution, but the people, which ought to be inviolable; and it is time to recognise and renovate the rights of the English, the Scotch, and the Irish nations.—Also,

'You have our ideas---answer us, and that quickly.---This is not a time to procraftinate.---Your illustrious Fletcher has faid, that the liberties of a people are not to be fecured without passing through great difficulties; and ono toil or labour ought to be declined to preferve a na-'tion from flavery. He fpoke well; and we add, that it is ' incumbent on every nation who adventures into a conflict for freedom, to remember, it is on the event (however 'abfurdly) depends the estimation of public opinion; ho-' nour and immortality, if fortunate; if otherwise, infamy and oblivion. Let this check the rashness that rushes unadvisedly into the Committee, of national character; or, if that be already made, let the same consideration impel 'us with active, not passive perseverance, with manly confidence, and calm determination, fmiling with equal fcorn 'at the blufter of official arrogance, and the whispers of ' private malevolence, until we have planted the flag of freedom on the fummit, and are at once victorious and · fecure.

' M' Alifter, 102. Grafton Street, Printer.

WHICH feditious paper or writing, containing, among others, the above passages, the said Thomas Muir did, immediately thereafter, wickedly and feloniously, propose should be received, and lie on the table of the said Meeting; and did also move, that the thanks of the Meeting, or some acknowledgment, should be returned to those from whom the foresaid paper or address came. AND MORE-OVER, the said Thomas Muir did, then and there, wickedly and seloniously express his approbation of the senti-

£

a

ments contained in the faid paper or address, or, at least. did declare, that it was altogether harmlefs, or used words and expressions of a similar import, notwithstanding that many of those who were present at the foresaid meeting did oppose and object to the foresaid paper or address being read, or allowed to lie on the table, or in any shape acknowledged by the faid Meeting. AND the faid Thomas Muir having been brought before John Pringle, Efq; our Sheriff-depute of the county of Edinburgh, upon the 2d day of January 1793, did, in his presence, emit and fign a declaration: but immediately thereafter, the faid Thomas Muir, confcious of his guilt in the premifes, did, in order to evade punishment, abscond and leave the kingdom; and having been indicted at the instance of our Advocate, for our interest, to stand trial before the High Court of Justiciary, upon the 11th day of February last, the diet was afterwards continued to the 25th of that month, to give him the better opportunity of appearing, if he was fo disposed; but the faid Thomas Muir having notwithstanding failed to appear, he was, on the 25th of February last, fugitate by a fentence of the faid High Court. AND the faid Thomas Muir having lately, in a private and claudestine manner, come into this Country, by the way of Ireland, he was discovered at Portpatrick, apprehended, and committed prisoner to the tolbooth of Strangaer, in the county of Wiga ton, on the 30th, or some other day in the month of July last; and, at same time, fundry papers found in his possesfion were, together with his pocket-book, fealed up in the presence of William Ross, Esq; one of our Justices of Peace for the shire of Wigton, under the seals of the said Thomas Muir and the town feal of Strangaer. AND the faid Thomas Muir having been afterwards transmitted to Edinburgh, by warrant of our High Court of Justiciary, alongst with the faid pocket-book and papers, the fealed parcel containing the same was opened in presence of him, the said Thomas Muir, and Harry Davidson, Esq; Sheriff-substitute of the county of Edinburgh, upon the 10th day of August current, the seals having been previously inspected by the faid Thomas Muir, and declared by him to be entire, and an inventory of the contents thereof was made, and figned by the faid Thomas Muir and Harry Davidson, and others then present. AND the above mentioned declaration, emitted by the faid Thomas Muir before the Sheriff-depute of Edinburgh upon the 2d of January 1793, together with a copy of 'Paine's Works,' recommended and circulated as aforefaid; as also, a copy of the faid ' Declaration of Rights, and an Address to the People, approved of by a number of the Friends of Reform in Paisley, circulated as aforefaid; as also, a copy of the 'Dialogue be-' tween the Governors and the Governed,' circulated as aforefaid; as also a copy of 'The Patriot,' circulated as aforesaid; as also, a copy of 'the Address from the Society of United Irishmen in Dublin, to the Delegates for pro-' moting a Reform in Scotland,' produced, read, and approved of by the faid Thomas Muir, in manner forefaid, and attested by the subscriptions of James Denholm, James Campbell, and others; and also, a book, entituled, 'The Book of the Records of the Affociation of the Friends of ' the Constitution and of the People of Kirkintilloch, vo-'lume 1st, Entered at Kirkintilloch, November 1792;' and also the foresaid inventory, made up before the said Thomas Muir and the Sheriff-substitute of Edinburgh, upon the faid 10th day of August current, with the whole articles and papers therein contained and referred to, will all be used in evidence against the said Thomas Muir, and will for that purpose in due time be lodged with the Clerk of the High Court of Justiciary, before which he is to be tried, that he may have an opportunity of feeing the fame. AT LEAST, times and places above mentioned, the faid feditious speeches and harrangues were uttered, the faid feditious books or pamphlets recommended to be purchased and perused, the said seditious books or pamphlets circulated and distributed, as aforefaid, and the faid wicked and inflammatory address produced, read, recommended, and ap-

l

S

1

V

e

e

,

h

d

f

proved of, in manner above mentioned; and the faid Thomas Muir is guilty actor, or art and part, of all and each, or one or other of the foresaid crimes. ALL WHICH, or part thereof, being sound proven, by the verdict of an assize, before our Lord Justice General, Lord Justice Clerk, and Lords Commissioners of Justiciary, in a Court of Justiciary to be holden by them within the Criminal Courthouse of Edinburgh, the said Thomas Muir OUGHT to be punished with the pains of law, to deter others from committing the like crimes in all time coming.

LIST OF WITNESSES.

24 James Deaholm, writer in Edinburgh.

Mr. John Blottbland, advocate.

- 1. John Brown, weaver at Lennoxtoun, in the parish of Camplie, and county of Stirling.
- 2. John Speir, weaver at Lennoxtoun aforesaid.
- 3. William Robertson, excise-officer at Lennoxtoun afore-
- 4. Francis Clark, callico printer at Lennox Mill, parish and county aforesaid.
- 5. Alexander Johnston, bleacher at Kincaid Printfield, in parish of Campsie aforesaid.
- 6. Henry Freeland, weaver in Kirkintilloch.
- 7. William Muir, weaver in Kirkintilloch.
- 8. John Scot, wright in Kirkintilloch.
- 9. Robert Weddel, weaver in Kirkintilloch.
- 10. James Baird, hofier in Kirkintilloch.
- 11. The Rev. Mr. William Dunn, minister of Kirkintilloch.
- 12. John Scott, weaver in Townhead of Kirkintilloch.
- 13. William Knox, weaver there.
- 14. James Muir, student of divinity residing at Campsie.
- 15. Anne Fisher servant, or late servant, to Mr. John Carlise collector of the cess in Glasgow.
- 16. Thomas Wilson, barber in Glasgow.
- 17. William Reid, bookfeller and stationer in Glasgow.
- 18. James Brash, bookfeller and stationer there.
- 19. David Blair, manufacturer in Glasgow.
- 20. John Muir, fenior, late hat-manufacturer, presently refiding in Glasgow.
- 21. John Barclay, refiding in the parish of Calder, in the county of Lanark, and one of the elders of the said parish.
- 22. The Reverend Mr. James Lapslie, minister of Camplie.
- 23. James Campbell, writer to the fignet.

C

- 24. James Denholm, writer in Edinburgh.
- 25. Hugh Bell, brewer in Edinburgh.
- 26. John Buchanan, baker in Canongate of Edinburgh.
- 27. Mr. John Morthland, advocate.
- 28. William Skirving of Strathruddie, refiding in Edinburgh.
- 29. Lieutenant-Colonel William Dalrymple of Fordell.
- 30. Mr. Robert Forfyth, advocate.
- 31. Richard Fowler, student of medicine, residing, or lately residing in Edinburgh.
- 32. John Pringle, Efq; Sheriff-depute of the county of Edinburgh.
- 33. William Scott, folicitor-at-law, and procurator-fifcal of the faid county of Edinburgh.
- 34 Joseph Mack, writer in Edinburgh.
- 35. Sir James Colquhoun of Luís, Baronet, Sheriff-depute of the shire of Dunbarton.
- 36. William Honyman, Efq; Sheriff-depute of the shire of Lanark.
- 37. Harry Davidson, Esq; Sheriff-substitute of the county of Edinburgh.
- 38. George Williamson, messenger in Edinburgh.
- 39 Mr James Carmichael, commander of the Justice hulk, in the service of the Board of Customs.
- 40. William Ross, Esq; one of the Justices of Peace for the county of Wigton.

adi macitati amin'ny apiasa sividi eman Lavoyir 5,1 % mindratra amin'ny fivond

was ent at entire rate

sance Compress, whice to the firm

commercial actions and case of the edels of the

The Reversed Mr. lanes Lapille mix Or of Car

LIST OF ASSIZE.

William Geoper, cobolderer their

William Dollerante, Surechint their

Sir John Clerk, of Pennycuick, Baronet.
Sir William Dick, of Prestonsield, Baronet.
Sir John Inglis, of Cramond, Baronet.
Sir Archibald Hope, of Craighall, Baronet.
Sir James Fowlis, of Collington, Baronet.
Sir Philip Ainslie, of Comley-Bank.
Charles Watson, of Saughton.
James Forrest, of Comiston.
Thomas Craig, of Riccarton.
To Captain John Inglis, of Auchindinny,

- John Wauchope, of Edmonstone.

 John Balfour younger, of Pilrig.

 David Johnston, of Bavelaw.

 John Davie, of Gaviside.
- John Trotter, of Mortonhall.

 Gilbert Innes, of Stow.

 John Davidson, of Ravelrigg.

 James Rocheid, of Inverleith.
- John Newton, of Curriehill.

 James Calderwood Durham, of Polton.

 Thomas Wright, of Greenhill.

 James Gillespie, of Spyelaw.

 Thomas Sivewright, of South-house.
- John Alves, of Dalkeith, portioner.
 Patrick Pridie, hatter in Edinburgh.
 Thomas Brown, bookfeller there.
 Andrew Smith, perfumer there.
- 30 James Charles, hofier there.

 Alexander Inglis, merchant there.

 William Pattison, merchant there.

William Cooper, upholsterer there. Andrew Ramsay, slater there.

- 35 Thomas Duncan, bookseller there.
 William Dalrymple, merchant there.
 Francis Buchan, merchant there.
 James Mansfield, banker there.
 Donald Smith, banker there.
- James Dickson, bookseller there.
 Samuel Paterson, merchant there.
 George Kinnear, banker there.
 Andrew Forbes, merchant there.
 John Horner, merchant there.

45 Alexander Wallace, banker there,

W_M. NAIRNE.
ALEX. ABERCROMBY.
JOHN SWINTON.

To this indictment, Mr. Muir pleaded, Not Guilty. He was then asked by the Lord Justice Clerk, if he had any objections to the relevancy of the charges which it contained. Mr. Muir replied that he had uniformly considered the Jury as the only judges of the law and of the fact; and that, in this stage of the trial, he would plead upon no point, which might preclude the determination of the Jury, by a previous decision of the Court.

In point of form, it is required in Scotland, that the person accused should communicate upon the evening preceding the trial, in writing the substance of his defence with a list of the witnesses intended to be adduced in exculpation. Mr. Muir had complied with this rule, and the Clerk of Court read the following dessences:

DEFENCES.

THE Criminal Libel is false and injurious; so far from exciting the people to riot and infurrection, it can eafily be proved, by a numerous lift of witnesses, that, upon every occasion, the pannel exhorted them to pursue measures moderate, legal, peaceable, and conflitutional. The charge of distributing feditious publications, and of advising the people to read them, is equally false and calumnious. The pannel admits, that on the great national question, concerning an equal representation of the People in the House of Commons, he exerted every effort to procure in that House, a full, fair, and equal representation of the people, as he confidered it to be a measure, (and still does,) the most falutary for the interest of his Country. But the pannel offers to prove, that as he confidered the information of the People to be the chief thing requisite to accomplish this great object, he uniformly advised them to read every publication, upon either fide, which the important question of Parliamentary Reform had occasioned.

Annexed are a lift of witnesses in exculpation.

Under protestation to add and eik.

(Signed)

THOMAS MUIR.

LIST of WITNESSES, adduced in Exculpation.

William Riddle, baker in Glafgow.

John Hamilton, manufacturer, St. Andrew's Square, Glafgow.

David Dale, jun. manufacturer there.

Bafil Ronald of Broomlone, glover in Glafgow.

Alexander Park, writer in Glafgow.

George Waddel, manufacturer in Glafgow.

George Russel, merchant in Gallowgate, Glasgow.

John Brock, manufacturer in Glafgow.

John Wilson, shoemaker in Gorbals of Glasgow.

John Lockhart, mason there.

Walter Hart, heritor in Tradestown, Glasgow.

Hugh Moodie, spirit dealer in Glasgow.

James Cooper, shoemaker, Glasgow.

John Gray, manufacturer, Glafgow.

Daniel M'Arthur, one of the mafters of the grammarfchool, Glafgow.

James Richardson, sen. merchant, Glasgow.

William Clydesdale, cabinet-maker there.

John Tennant, brewer there.

George Bell, jun. manufacturer there.

George Stayley, manufacturer in Balmanno Street, Glafgow.

Robert M'Kinlay, print-cutter in Mr. Fulton's employment, near Paisley.

William Orr, jun. manufacturer in Paisley.

James Craig, manufacturer, Water Brae, Paisley.

James Gemmel, merchant, Storie Street, Paisley.

William Muir, Fisherrow, Paisley.

Hamilton Ballantyne, Storie Street, Paisley.

James Muir, weaver, Shuttle Street, Paisley.

John Buchannan, foreman at Kincaid Printfield, in the parish of Campsie.

Robert Honarie, printer there,

Patrick Horn, printer there.

Smollet M'Lintock, block-cutter there,

William Henry, of Borrowstown parish, Baldernock,

James M'Gibbon, printer, at Kincaid printfield.

John Freeland, distiller in Kirkintilloch.

Andrew Rochead, younger of Duntiblaemill, parish of Kirkintilloch.

Robert Boak, furgeon in Kirkintilloch.

John Edmond, print-cutter, Kincaid printfield.

Robert Millar, weaver in Cambauslang.

The Rev. Mr. William Dunn, minister of Kirkintilloch.

David Wallace, late fervant to James Muir, of Hunterfhill, now to James Stark of Adamslie. Robert Scott, weaver in Kirkintilloch.
Archibald Binnie, type-founder, Edinburgh.
Charles Salter, brewer in Edinburgh.
Peter Wood, teacher in Portsburgh.
John Buchannan, baker in Canongate.
—Bell, tobacconist, Canongate.
William Skirving, Edinburgh.
Maurice Thomson, starch-maker there.
Andrew Wilson, brewer in Portsburgh.
John Smith, weaver, Lothian Road.
Peter Hardie, brewer in Portsburgh.
Col. William Dalrymple, of Fordel.
William Johnston, Esq; Edinburgh.
The Right Hon. Lord Daer.
—Newton, residing St. Patrick's Square, Edinburgh.

The following Interlocutor was then pronounced by the Court upon the relevancy.

"The Lord Justice Clerk, and Lords Commissioners of Justiciary, having considered the criminal libel, raised and pursued at the instance of Robert Dundas, Esq; of Arniston, his Majesty's Advocate, for his Majesty's interest, against the said Thomas Muir, pannel, they find the libel relevant to infer the pains of law, but allow the pannel to prove all facts and circumstances that may tend to exculpate him, or alleviate his guilt; and remit the pannel with the libel, as found relevant, to the know-ledge of an Assize."

Febert Scott, weaver in The

Archibald Binule, type-loueder,

Charles Salter, brewer in Strack.

Sir James Foulis of Collinton.

Captain John Inglis of Auchindinny.

John Wauchope of Edmonston.

John Balfour, younger of Pilrig.

- John Trotter of Morton-Hall.

 Gilbert Innes of Stow.

 James Rochead of Inverleitle.

 John Alves of Dalkeith, Portioner.
- Donald Smith, banker, Edin.

 James Dickson, bookseller, Edin.

 George Kinnear, banker, Edin.

 Andrew Forbes, merchant, Edin.

and Julies Cheric, and iso to Committee

15 John Horner, merchant, Edin.

and and limited out the ball

Immediately afterwards the Lord Justice Clerk, asked Mr. Muir, if he had any thing further to state, in support of these desences, as in the further course of the trial, he would be precluded from adducing any thing extraneous.

Mr. Muir then rose up and said, according to the rule of court, I have the evening before this day, communicated to the public prosecutor the substance of my defence in writing. The truth of every word in that desence, I shall strongly prove before I leave this bar. I admit that I exerted every effort, to procure a more equal representation of the People in the House of Commons. If that be a crime, I plead guilty to the charge. I acknowledge that I considered the cause of Parliamentary Resorm to be essential to the salvation of my Country: But I deny that I ever advised

fi

the People to attempt to accomplish that great object, by any means which the constitution did not fanction. I grant that I advised the people, to read different publications upon both fides, which this great national question had excited, and I am not ashamed to assign my motives. I confider the ignorance of the people, on the one hand, to be the fource from which despotism flows. I consider, upon the other hand, an ignorant people, impressed with a sense of grievances, and wishing to have these grievances redressed, to be exposed to certain misery and to complete ruin. KNOW-LEDGE must always precede REFORMATION, and who shall DARE to fay that the PEOPLE should be debarred from INFORMATION, where it concerns them fo materially? I am accused of sedition; and yet, I can prove by thousands of witnesses, that I warned the people of the danger of that crime, exhorted them to adopt none but meafures which were constitutional, and intreated them, to connect liberty with knowledge, and both with morality. what I can prove. If these are crimes, I am guilty.

CAPTAIN INGLIS, before being sworn, mentioned that he was a servant of Government; that he understood Mr. Muir was accused of a crime against Government; and that he did not consider it as proper, that Mr. Muir should be tried by a Jury composed of servants of Government; that his mind selt scrupulous, laboured under much anxiety, and he begged leave to decline being a Juryman. Captain Inglis was informed by the Court, that there was no impropriety in his being a Juryman, although belonging to the service of Government.

The Lord Justice Clerk, in the usual form, asked Mr. Muir, if he had any objections to state to the first five gentlemen, whose names he had selected from the list of assize.

Mr. Mur faid: Of these gentlemen I have no personal knowledge. Their situations in life are respectable, and I believe them to be men of truth, and of honour; yet my situation and theirs is so peculiar, that I am obliged to ob-

ject to them being upon this Jury. The question of Parliamentary Reform has agitated deeply, in proportion to its magnitude, the minds of men in this country; different opinions have been adopted, and different parties have been formed. These gentlemen belong to an affociation which assembled in Goldsmith's Hall, calling themselves the Friends of the Constitution, united to support it against Republicans and Levellers, and expressing their zeal to suppress tumult and sedition. I belong to the association of the Friends of the People. Viewing a reform in the representation of the people as a measure the most conducive to the stability of the constitution, and to the felicity of the people, we united our common exertions, by legal meafures, to accomplish that object.

d

1

V

I

V

I

j

f

Ö

P

0

h

1

ſ

0

1

v

F

t

I

0

P

To the constitution, in its genuine principles, we have solemnly pledged ourselves---Never have we professed to be its enemies, yet the affociation in Goldsmith's Hall, by a deliberate and public act of their's, have declared, that we were the enemies of the constitution. Equally zealous in our declarations to the world-in our reprobating riot and fedition; and fincere in our hearts, that affociation has denounced us to this country, as attempting to kindle the torch of civil war, and to lay it in blood and in destruction. The fact upon which I found this charge is notorious, and cannot be denied. A Convention of Delegates, from all the Societies of the Friends of the People in Scotland, affembled in this city, upon the 11th day of December last. Of this Convention I had the honour of being a member. The convention accorded with the affociation in Goldsmith's Hall, in their zeal to support the constitution, in their abhorrence of fedition, and in their determination to concur with good citizens in the suppression of riot and of tumult. testify then to this affociation, their principles and their object, the Convention ordered a number of its members to repair to their Hall, and to subscribe the book which they had opened, of adherence to the conflitution. In this number I was included. We did fo. And, What were the conrto

nt

en

h

ne .

ıst

p-

of

e-

ve

ne

a-

0-

ts

e-

he

a-

nd

to

ril

ct

ot

0-

ed

115

n-

11,

r-

th

Го

eir

to

ey

n-

n-

fequences? The affociation erazed our names, and published in the papers of the day their proceeding. Was not this an act of public proscription against us all? Accused this day of sedition, of an attempt to overthrow the constitution, shall those men be my Jurymen; who have not merely accused me, but likewise judged and condemned me without knowing me, without leaving me the possibility of the power of vindication. This trial is no trivial matter. It affects me, but it affects the country more. The noise of it will pass down to other times, and posterity may fancy their most valuable rights connected with its consequences.

A respectable gentleman of the five to whom I now object, has felt the delicacy of his situation, and has honourably avowed his scruples. Such sentiments, so respectful in themselves, I trust are common to all his colleagues.

This is not the only objection I state to the Gentlemen of Goldsmith's Hall being of my Jury. I am accused of circulating the works of Mr. Paine. That Association has publicly advertised their horrors at the doctrines contained in these books. Nay, more, they have offered a reward of five guineas, to any who will discover a person who may have circulated them! If this is not prejudicating my cause, I demand to know what prejudication is!

Upon these two objections, I shall make no further observations. To suppose them not well sounded, would be to infult the common sense and the common feelings of mankind.

I demand justice. Let me be tried fairly, not by a Jury of the Association of Goldsmith's Hall, not by a Jury of the Association of the Friends of the People, but by men unconnected with either, whose minds cannot possibly be supposed warped with prejudices. I THEREFORE SOLEMNLY PROTEST, that no person who is a member of the Association in Goldsmith's Hall, should, or can, be of the Jury in my trial.

Solicitor-General BLAIR replied, That he confidered this objection to be of the most extraordinary nature. The pannel is accused of forming associations, contrary to the Constitution, and he presumes to object to those Gentlemen,

who formed affociations in its defence. With equal propriety might the pannel object to their Lordships on the Bench, to be his Judges in this trial: their Lordships had fworn to defend the Constitution.

MR. MUIR. This day, I will not descend into the quibbles of a Lawyer. I object to these gentlemen, not because they associated in desence of the constitution. I too, as well as they, have associated in desence of the constitution. But my objection is, that they, by an act of theirs, have publicly accused me of being an enemy to the constitution, have already pronounced the sentence of condemnation, and have imposed upon my name the seal of proscription.

LORD JUSTICE CLERK.—If the objections of the pannel were relevant, it would extend far indeed; it would go to every person who had taken the oaths to government. I can see nothing in the objection, and I am clear for repelling it.

LORD HENDERLAND.—I can see nothing in the objection, these gentlemen entered into a society for a particular purpose, and had the right of judging of the qualification of their members; they did not think Mr. Muir or his friends proper members. In no trial whatever could this be a good objection.

The objection was repelled. Mr. Muir, however, continued to repeat it, as every five were fworn.

When the lift of the five last was presented, he said it was not sufficient to say that these gentlemen were free to form a society, this is a sact which no man in his sound senses will dispute. But, this society when formed, had opened, in a public place, a book for public subscription. By repeated advertisements they had called upon every friend to the constitution, every enemy to sedition and tumult, every person inimical to a public division of property by a levelling system, to come and subscribe their names in that book, as expressive of their attachment to the constitution, to property, and to peace. Every porter, every chairman from the streets, was allowed to insert his subscription.

Why were the names of the pannel and his friends expunged? Was it not a public denunciation, of their being the supporters of that system of plunder and of disorder, which that association was to oppose?

When the jury were fworn in, Mr. Muir again stated, that he believed them to be men of truth and integrity, but never would cease recalling to their attention the peculiarity of their situation. They had already determined his fate. They had already judged his cause; and, as they valued their reputation, their own internal peace, he entreated———Here Mr. Muir was interrupted by the Court, who concurred in opinion, that his conduct was exceedingly improper, in taking up their time, as the objection had been repelled!

The counsel for the Crown now proceeded to call the witnesses.

ALEXANDER JOHNSTON.

Mr. Mur objected to this witness. He said he did not know him. He did not remember if ever he had seen him, but he could clearly prove, by respectable witnesses, that this person had said, upon hearing of his arrival in Scotland, that he would do every thing in his power to have him hanged.

Mr. Muse was asked if he could condescend upon any particular circumstances of malice, which this witness entertained against him. He replied that he did not know him, therefore could specify no circumstances from which the proposed witness might have entertained malice against him; but the fact that he had so expressed himself was certain and true, and if permitted, he would immediately substantiate what he had afferted, by the most convincing proof.

The objection was repelled. The Court observed, that witnesses might be averse to bear testimony. That for this purpose they might make similar affertions in order to disqualify themselves. That if, upon objections of this kind,

they were to be cast, the ends of public Justice, might be defeated; besides, it was observed, that the witness would be purged of malice upon oath.

The objection was over-ruled, and the witness was adduced.

ALEXANDER JOHNSTON,

Depones, That he was prefent at a meeting in Kirkintilloch, fometime in the month of November last, but does not remember the day. That the meeting had then no name, but has been fince known by the name of a Reform Meeting. Mr. Muir was prefent who harangued the meeting. As far as he recollects, Mr. Muir addressed the Prefident; stated the disadvantages in the Representation, some Boroughs being rotten, others having no vote. He flated the population of England, and Scotland, and mentioned, that from the smallness of the number who voted, the people were not fully represented. Mr. Muir faid, that if a man paid f. 20,000 for a feat in Parliament, he behoved to derive some interest from his seat, and he referred to the people, whether it was reasonable that a man should pay so much for a feat in Parliament, without deriving fome emolument in return. That the Duke of Richmond, had complained of this, and had f. 30,000 .-- He was filent .-- That Mr. Muir compared our Constitution with the French, and faid, that beyond a doubt they would be fuccessful. That they were more equally represented, and their taxes much That two thirds of the French national debt was already paid. That a manufacturer in this country could not bring his goods to market with the same advantage as the French Manufacturers; of courfe, we should lose our trade. Mr. Muir faid, the Society ought to be acquainted with the principles of those members they admitted. That the fole intention of these Societies, was to procure a more equal and a shorter duration of Parliament. That the means these Societies were to use, for these ends, was to petition Parliament, and to communicate their resolutions, and extend their knowledge, by publishing and circulating useful

publications. That in order to obtain that knowledge, they ought to get all political pamphlets from a neighbouring Bookfeller; but he did not mention any pamphlet in particular.

Upon the interrogatory of the Solicitor-General. Deponed, That nothing was faid about a King, but that the Constitution ought to confist of King, Lords, and Commons. There was mention made of Paine's Rights of Man, but not by Mr. Muir. One man in the Society, not in a public manner, but to his neighbour privately, faid, he had read that work. The most of the members were young weavers, from eighteen to twenty years of age. He knew of no previous meeting at Kirkintilloch, this one being the constituting one. Mr. Muir did not come into the meeting till after it was constituted, but had promised in the morning to be there. He feemed to be the principal man in that Society, and faid, he belonged to other Societies, of the fame fort: He recommended a timeous accomplishment of the business, in order, that when the different meetings had communicated with each other, they might lay it before Parliament. At the time he recommended the above meafure, some of the members wished to form meetings. ing interrogated by Mr. Muir, he deponed, That Mr. Muir did recommend peace and regularity to the meeting, and observed that any tumult or disorder would ruin their common cause. He told the meeting, that there was no other mode of procuring redrefs, but by applying to Parliament, and he recommended to the meeting to beware of admitting immoral characters as members.

ROBERT WEDDEL,

Depones, That he remembers a meeting in Kirkintilloch, about the beginning of November last, which was called the meeting of the Friends of the People, or, for a Parliamentary Reform. That Mr. Muir was at this meeting, which was the constituting meeting—came in after the meeting began, and made a speech in which he advised regularity in

their proceedings; and mentioned that they ought to proceed, in a constitutional manner, as the law now is, by King, Lords, and Commons. He faid nothing about the expence of a King, nor the burden of taxes, nor the comparative expence of the French Government, nor did he mention the fuccess of their arms. He spoke about ten minutes. After the meeting broke up, the witness met Mr. Muir with fome others, in Mr. Wallace's, Baker in Kirkintilloch, where the conversation was about the politics of the country --- there were about eight present in Mr. Wallace's, or one fourth of the number that was at the meeting. The witness was Vice-President of the Meeting, Mr. Freeland was Prefident, and James Baird was Secretary, there were no other officers, and all these were with Mr. Muir in Wallace's. He does not remember the particulars of the conversation, but Flower on the French Constitution was mentioned, which he never before had heard of. thinks it was Mr. Muir that mentioned this book, in fpeaking of new publications, and he remembers books being spoken of. Depones, That at the meeting, one Boyd asked Mr. Muir's opinion about Paine's Works, when Mr. Muir faid, that it was foreign to their purpose. He remembers this, because he was angry at the question being asked.

Upon the Lord Advocate's interrogatory, Whether Flower's Book had been recommended, Mr. Muir objected

to the question. The witness was removed.

Mr. Muir.—There is no accusation brought against this book in the libel. Let it be admitted that this book is of a seditious or a treasonable nature, yet, as I am not accused of either recommending or circulating it, how can any thing under this indictment, concerning it, be adduced in evidence against me? I plead upon a great principle of natural justice: I look forward to other times, and I tremble for the precedent. If this were not the case, I would not say that I merely approved of that book of Mr. Flower's, but, in this great audience, I would recommend its principles, in general, to every man who valued the interests of

his country, and whose feelings interest him in the happi-

LORD ADVOCATE.—The charge against the Pannel is sedition, and it is branched out under various heads. One of these was, advising people to purchase seditions books; and he was entitled to examine as to such facts, though every particular book or fact was not condescended on in the libel.

Mr. Murr.---Neither in justice nor in law has the Pablic Profecutor a right to bring against me a general charge. Every criminal charge, upon the facts, must be special, in order that the pannel may know the crime that is alledged against him, and accordingly prepare the special matter of his defence.

LORD JUSTICE CLERE.—By the Statute of James VI. wherever art and part is libelled, there can be no objection to the generality. This is a proper question; and it has a tendency to establish the major proposition, and it ought to be sustained.

The Lord Advocate gave up the question.

The witness was again called in, and deponed, That he knows of no books having been recommended to be purchased in consequence of this meeting, except Henry's History of England. The books purchased by the witness for the Society or others, were three or four copies of the Political Progress, --- three or four copies of the Paisley Declaration, one copy for his own use and one for the Society? That he was not ordered by the meeting to buy them, but bought them for his own use, and to show his neighbours. He never purchased any of Paine's pamphlets, but he got a copy of that pamphlet, he does not know from whom, and which happened fometime before the meeting. He'never faw the Dialogue between the Governors and the Governed. He faw the Patriot, and was shewn it by Mr. William Muir. Upon being interrogated by the Pannel, depones, That he recommended Henry's History but no other book, -That he recommended order and regularity; and upon

the Solicitor General's interrogatory, depones, That Mr. Muir particularly faid, that they would be the more fuccefsful the more they were constitutional: That their end was to obtain a more equal Representation in Parliament: That the witness meant by that a more equal Representation, but he does not know the precise terms: That he cannot fay more, but there were different opinions in the Society, one part of them wanting it confined to the landed interest, another to have it that every man should have a vote, but Mr. Muir gave no opinion. Upon Mr. Muir's interrogatory, depones, that Mr. Muir recommended to avoid riot, and faid, that tumult would ruin the cause; he also advised them to take care of the moral character of the members whom they admitted. He does not remember whether Mr. Muir faid he would defert them, if they became unconstitutional, and he does not remember that he recommended them to purchase any other book than Henry's History of England.

REV. MR. JAMES LAPSLIE.

The Public Profecutor next adduced as a witness Mr. Lapslie-

Mr. Muir—Let this witness be removed. I have many objections to state against him,

Mr. Lapslie was accordingly removed.

Mr. Mure—I have faid that I have many objections to state, both to the admissibility and credibility of this witness. My delicacy with regard to that man, will, at present, permit me to adduce the least weighty only; for I mean to prove the most important, in a different shape, in a criminal prosecution against him, when he and I shall exchange places at this bar. I know not what title this Reverend Gentleman has to act as an agent for the Crown; but this I offer to prove, that he affished the Messengers of the Law, in exploring and citing witnesses against me; that he attended the sheriffs in their different visits to the parishes of Campsie and Kirkintilloch, that previously to the

precognition, he conversed with the witnesses of the Crown, that he attended their precognition, put questions to them and took down notes; nay, more, that, without being cited by the Prosecutor, he offered himself ultroneously against me, and insisted that his declaration should be taken in the unusual form, with his oath attending it, to attest its truth. Upon other matters I shall not dwell; it is sufficient for me to say, that this witness attended the precognition of other witnesses. The uniform and the late decisions of your Lordships have sustained this objection—the witness therefore cannot be examined.

The LORD ADVOCATE said, that he knew the Court had sustained the objection in many late cases. He would not dispute the point of Law at present, afterwards indeed he might upon some suture occasion, but consented that Mr. Muir should be allowed to prove the first part of his objection, of Mr. Lapsie's activity as an agent in collecting evidence against him.

In proof of the objection Mr. Muir called

HENRY FREELAND,

Depones, That he knows Mr. Honeyman, (Sheriff of Lanarkshire) and faw him at Kirkintilloch, in company with Mr. Lapslie and another gentleman, a writer in Glasgow. Mr. Honeyman examined the witness about Mr. Muir; and, during the precognition, Mr. Lapslie also put questions to the witness. He asked him, if he had got a College education, which being answered in the negative, Mr. Lapslie said he was a clever fellow, and when he saw him write, he faid it was a pity fuch a clever fellow should be a weaver, and that it was in Mr. Honeyman's power to procure him a birth, which was faid in presence of Mr. That when Mr. Honeyman examined him a-Honeyman. bout how often Mr. Muir had been at Kirkintilloch meetings, Mr. Lapslie also asked him if Mr. Muir had been more than once there. That on the above occasion when Mr. Lapslie praised the witness's abilities, the witness anfwered, that it was flattery, when Mr. Lapssie clapped him on the shoulder, and said it was no such thing, but that it was probable Mr. Honeyman would see him again.

Mr. Muir then called

ROBERT HENRY,

Who deponed, That he was examined by Mr. Honeyman about Mr. Muir: That Mr. Lapslie was present all the time he was so examined,

ROBERT M'KINLEY

Was then called, who deponed, That he was examined at Campfie by Mr. Honeyman, in presence of Mr. Lapslie and Mr. Shiels. Mr. Lapslie spoke to the witness before the examination, and told him to speak the whole truth, and frequently exorted him, during the examination, to tell every thing as it concerned Mr. Muir, and not him the witness.

Mr. Muir proceeded to call James M'Gibbon, when the Lord Advocate gave up Mr. Lapslie's evidence; consequently there no longer remained any necessity to examine more witnesses, with regard to the conduct of that gentleman. The Prosecutor said, that he gave up Mr. Lapslie as a witness, not on the ground of his being present at the precognitions, but because he appeared, if not an agent, at least to have taken an active part in the business.

The evidence for the Crown then proceeded.

HENRY FREELAND.

When this witness appeared, Mr. Muir observed, that the Jury would remember, that from what this witness had already deponed, it would appear that he had got the promise of a good deed.

Then the witness being examined, depones, That he was present at a meeting in Kirkintilloch, which was called a Society for Reform, on the 3d November last. He was president that night and sat in the Chair. Mr. Muir was

there and made a speech of about a quarter of an hour. The general purport of the speech was about shortening the duration of Parliament, and a more equal Representation. He thought the taxes might be lessened by these means: That the Reform was not to take effect as to the King and House of Lords, but only of the Commons. He mentioned the fuccess of the French arms, and that liberty would be established in France. He spoke of books in general, but he does not recollect the name of any being mentioned but Henry's History of England; in general it was political books. The books this witness remembers to have feen, are the Proceedings of the Westminster Association, the Patriot, and Paisley Declaration. It had been suggested by one Boyd to purchase Paine, but Mr. Muir shook his head, and faid it was foreign to the purpose: That the witness fometime before this spoke to Mr. Muir about Paine's book, and asked it as a favour to get the loan of it, and Mr. Muir bid him fearch his great coat pocket, and he would get it, and that he got it accordingly: That this was on the Tuesday; eight-days before the meeting, at which time Mr. Muir had fent for him to Mr. Wallace's, and told him that he had heard of the intended fociety, and that the witness was to be a member; and that Mr. Muir and the witness afterwards talked of societies in general: That the witness took Paine home with him, and read it, and gave it to his fifter: That he gave it to one Scott, and also to one Stewart, who had fought it several times, and was angry he had not got it fooner: That Mr. Muir faid, when the witness first asked the book from him, that he thought it had a tendency to mislead the people, and that nothing further passed in respect to it; and that he has concealed nothing: That he was furprifed Mr. Muir did not recommend it, because every body else spoke well of it, and was furprifed that Mr. Muir faid it had a bad tendency: That Mr. Muir did not approve of the book, but on the contrary faid, it had a bad tendency: That he knows no particular reason for his being sent for: That he

C

knows books were bought for the fociety; and that he wrote to Mr. Muir, faying that the books then in circulation gave satisfaction: That he received a letter from Mr. Muir, telling that Mr. Provan's cause had been decided in the Court of Session: That he got two letters from Mr. Muir, in which he defired the witness to impress the fociety with the importance of fending a delegate to the Convention, and hoped to fee him there, but faid nothing about the books: That the Paisley Declaration purchased for the fociety was not recommended by any particular person: That the book before mentioned was afterwards given up by the witness to the Sheriff; and the book being shown to him, he thinks it the same: That he cut up the leaves: That the witness first mentioned the book to Mr. Muir: That there were two pamphlets in Mr. Muir's pocket. Upon Mr. Muir's Interrogatory-depones, there was an intention of having this fociety long before the witnessfaw him: that Mr. Wallace, at whose house there was an adjournment from the meeting as aforefaid, was an old fervant of Mr. Muir's father, and Kirkintilloch is within four miles of Huntershill, his father's house: That he did not recommend any other book than Henry's History of England: That he told them all riot would be ruin to the cause. and recommended them to be constitutional and regular: That the people were to petition Parliament, and that there was no other way of getting a Reform. That on Paine being recommended in the fociety, Mr. Muir faid it was foreign to the subject: that the witness having heard that a Proclamation of the King was against that book, he was upon that account curious to fee it, and he first spoke of it to Mr. Muir.

WILLIAM MUIR.

When the oath was proposed to be administered to this witness, he refused to swear, as being contrary to his religious principles. Being asked what these principles were, he declared he was one of those who are called the Mountain: That he had no objections to be examined: That he

would tell the whole truth, but could not wrong his own conscience by taking an oath, which he thought unlawful.

The Court observed to him, that if he would not swear, no other alternative remained to them, but to commit him to prison; that there was no way by which he could ever obtain his liberation, and that his imprisonment would be perpetual. He replied, that he could not help it, and that he knew the Lord was present in prison, as well as present any where else,

The Judges asked him, if he believed in the Bible; to which he answered, he did. He was then interrogated, if he could point out any particular passage which taught him the unlawfulness of taking an oath. In order to remove his scruples they quoted several passages from the Bible, but he still adhered to his first declaration, saying, that he could not take the oath without deserting his principles, which he was determined never to do.

The LORD ADVOCATE moved, that this person should be committed to prison for his contumacy, informed him there was no way by which he could ever be set free; and, in express words, declared that his imprisonment would be eternal.

Mr. Mure—I believe this perfon to be a good and confcientious man. Whether he be right or wrong in refusing to take this oath, is not an object of my inquiry. He is adduced as a witness by the Prosecutor against me. I have therefore the most material interest that he should be sworn, but rather than he should suffer for acting according to the dictates of his conscience, I wave my right, and I will admit every word which he utters, although not upon oath, to be as true as if it were,

The Court observed, that neither they, nor the jury could listen to any evidence but what was given upon oath. The law expressly required it, and it could not be dispensed with, and that the Prosecutor and public justice was concerned in this matter also.

William Muir perfifted in his refusal. The Court committed him to prison, declaring they knew no mode by

which, by the law of Scotland, he could be liberated. By

The Court oblary, TOHN BROWN, visido, tarol bed I

Depones, That he was present at a meeting at Camplie. about the month of October or November last: That Mr. Muir and Mr. Buchanan both fpoke at that meeting: That he also was at a meeting in Kirkintilloch: That he does not understand these meetings were called by Mr. Muir: That he has bought Paine's book, but does not recollect whether he did so before or after the meeting: That he bought it merely from curiofity, feeing the title in a window: That he does not remember, whether or not that book was mentioned at the meeting: That he does not recollect Mr. Muir mentioning France: That the tenor of Mr. Muir's speech was to inculcate upon them the necessity of sobriety, to purfue constitutional measures, and to read constitutional books: That there were no books in the meeting, and that at a conversation he heard Mr. Muir say, that Paine's book was not a constitutional book, and would not do.

ANNE FISHER,

Depones, That she was sometime servant to Mr. Muir's father; she knows, about the time libelled, Mr. Muir was much busied about reading and writing, but does not know the subject: That she was fent to Mr. Mennons' with a mesfage from Mr. Muir, and with a paper, which she thinks was entitled a Declaration of Rights, which had not been printed as he wanted, and to get it corrected. She faw at that time, a good many country people coming about Mr. Muir's father's shop: That Mr. Muir has frequently faid to these country people, that Mr. Paine's book was a good book: That she has frequently bought this book for people in the shop, and that this was fometimes at the defire of Mr. Muir, and sometimes at that of these people. She bought both the first and second part of Paine, at different times, and when she returned with them, she sometimes laid them on the table, and fometimes gave them to the people, that she bought two different parts at different times,

for Alexander Muir, Mr. Muir's uncle. She was fent for a copy by Mr. John Muir, hatter, but is not fure whether or not she got it. That John Muir was much pressed upon by the Pannel to purchase the book: That, to the best of her remembrance; she bought one for one Barclay: That she knows Mr. Muir's hair dreffer Thomas Wilson, and she has heard Mr. Muir advising him to buy Paine's Rights of Man, and to keep them in his shop to enlighten the people, as it confuted Mr. Burke entirely: She read this book herfelf, and got it in her mafter's house: That she has seen one copy on fine paper, and one or two coarse ones: That, to the best of her remembrance, she has seen the Paisley Declaration on Mr. Muir's table, and fometimes in the dining room: That she thinks she has seen the Dialogue, in Mr. Muir's room, and has heard him read it, in presence of his mother, fifter, and others, in his father's back shop: That Mr. Muir faid, it was very clever, and wrote by one Vilnew, one of the first wits in France: That she does not remember to have feen the Patriot: That she thinks she has heard part of the Paisley Declaration read by Mr. Muir in the faid back shop, in presence of somebody, that being the common place where he read these books: That she has been fent from the back shop upstairs for some books: That she does not recollect whether he thus read these books on a market day; and that she has known him read some French law books: That she has heard him speak about Reform and members of Parliament: That if every body had a vote, he would be made member for Calder; that members of Parliament were to have thirty or forty shillings a-day; and that, in that case, there would be none but honest men to keep the Constitution clear: That she has heard it faid, by Mr. Muir, that France was the most flourishing nation in the world; as they had abolished tyranny and got a free government; that she heard him fay the Constitution of this country was very good, but that many abuses had crept in which required a thorough Reform: That the Courts of law required Reform also, for they got their money, for doing nothing but pronouncing fentence of death upon poor creatures; and that it was an useless parade of their coming in to Glasgow: That she recollects, about that time, of Mr. Muir being Council for two soldiers; at their trial she heard him say, that he told the Judges and the Jury, as much as what they had to expect.

Mr. Muir grofe, and made a motion for the witness to withdraw.

Mr. Mur then faid, that the conduct of the Lord Advocate was, in every respect, highly reprehensible. He has put a variety of questions to witnesses, with regard to crimes of which I am not accused. The indictment charges me with making feditious speeches at Kirkintilloch and at Campfie, vilifying the Constitution and the King, and inflaming the minds of people to rebellion. It charges me with distributing feditious books; and it specifies that I gave away Mr. Paine's Works, some numbers of the Patriot, the Dialogue by Volney, betwixt the Governors and the Governed, the Paisley Declaration of Rights, and of having read, in the Convention, the address from the Society of United Irishmen in Dublin. The indictment charges nothing more, there is not a fingle letter within its four corners which points out to me the charge of speaking difrespectfully of Courts of Justice, tending, in any manner, to excite the people against the administration of the law. Compared to a crime of this nature, the giving away to fingle individuals, fingle copies of books and pamphlets, which may be esteemed feditious, is a trifle, light as air. If the public Profecutor had evidence that I was guilty of this crime, he was deficient in his duty to the public, in not making it an article of accusation. He should have manfully, in the light, brought it forward against me, joined a fair iffue betwixt us, and then I would have defended myfelf the best way I could. But to attempt to steal it in as evidence in this way, to prove a crime which he durst not openly libel, because he knew it could not be supported, merits the severest reprobation. But what is the tendency of this little art? this domestic and well tutored fpy, is brought to prove words

which may irritate your minds against me, --- Yes, this is the artifice, this is its object, but your justice will render it ineffectual. You will feel the same contempt which I do. Let us pass from that .-- I contend upon the great principle of natural justice, upon the constitutional law of this country, that no perfon can be tried for a crime of which he has not been previously accused. What is the purpose of an indictment, but to specify the crimes which are to be proved, in order that the Pannel may have an opportunity to prepare his defence? Why is the indictment ferved upon him fifteen days before the trial, but to enable him to accomplish this purpose? It is vain to fay, that under the general charge of fedition, every thing tending to prove it can be adduced, although not specially mentioned. If this is now to be adopted as law, what pertion remains to us of our national liberties is for ever torn away. Every thing is infecure, an indictment will no longer be regarded, but as a piece of unmeaning paper. The unfortunate man who receives it may fay, I am charged with robbery. I have many witnesses to prove I did not perpetrate this crime, but what avails preparing a defence? Not a fingle witness may perhaps be adduced against me for that offence. I may never hear a word of it in Court, but I may be instantly called upon to defend myfelf against a charge of murder, of fedition, or of high treason. In short, if, under the specious pretence of being allowed to introduce what is not fpecified in the libel to support its generality, you establish a precedent of this kind, you strike the fatal blow against individual fecurity, and of general fafety. What has been called the criminal law of this country, its forms, its precedents, and its principles, are for ever gone. It is vain to fay that the statute of James VI. allows this proceeding. That statute is now obsolete. By the law of Scotland it has gone into disuetude. It was enacted under a despotic reign, when the freedom of Scotland was trampled under the foot of power. It opposes every principle of justice; and will you, after the lapfe of fo many years, descend into the grave, drag the pestilential carcase, in order that it may poison the political atmosphere?—One word more upon this subject: The charge against me is sedition. That crime, from its very nature, supposes, and, in fact, it has often happened, that it may be attended by rapine, and by murder. If, therefore, under the generality, you allow a charge of vilifying the Courts of Justice, which I never heard of before, you must, by the same parity of reasoning, allow a charge of plunder, equally unheard of, to be adduced as an agravation of the general crime of sedition.

This question is of little importance to the individual, who is now struggling for the liberties of his country. But the eyes of your children will be fixed upon this trial, and they will tremble and shudder at the precedent. I feel for the country,—I feel for posterity,—I will not sanction the procedure which is to produce to both, a system of injustice, of ruin, and of murder.

LORD ADVOCATE faid .--- Mr. Muir is indicted for the crime of fedition, and that crime may confift of many facts and circumstances, and of these the strongest must be, feloniously and seditiously stirring up the inhabitants against a lawful King and a good Constitution: That, to prove this, he was entitled to bring in evidence every word of conversation which might have passed betwixt Mr. Muir and ignorant people; every paper, every fact, and every witness which could be got: That no person could deny the relevancy of the fact, viz. the abusing and vilifying the Courts of Justice to be an aggravation of the crime of sedition; it is that crime of which the Pannel is accused, and he certainly would be permitted to bring forward every thing which could support the charge. If it had been neceffary to specify, in the indictment, all the facts against the Pannel, that indictment would have covered, by its magnitude, the walls of that Court.

Mr. Mur..-This is not the time to entertain your Lordships with frothy declamation, with sounding, but unmeaning periods. I pleaded upon just principles; every person here must see their strength, and must admit their truth.---If these are

given up, if these are violated, PROPERTY, and LIBER, TY, and LIFE are infecure. Once more; can the Lord Advocate himself say, that if he was to bring the general charge of murder against me, and should specify that I had murdered James, would he be allowed to prove, under the generality, that I had murdered John? Can there be any thing more plain, more just, and which requires less argument than the simple proposition which I support? That if you accuse a man of a crime, you must tell him what that crime is, in order that he may be able to defend himself against the accusation. Particular acts must be specified, in order that the Pannel may be able to prove, that either these acts in themselves were innocent, or that he was not accessary to them. If you destroy this proposition, if you fanction the reverse, what remains to this country, but the melancholy prospect of ruin and of despair?

LORD SWINTON was of opinion, that reflecting on Courts of Justice was included under the general charge of sedition, and that the objection was groundless.

LORD DUNSINNAN, concurred with Lord Swinton, and declared, that the various circumstances which may come out in evidence need not be specified.

LORD ABERCROMBY could not entertain the smallest doubt of their being a necessity for specifying in the libel, every seditious expression that might have been used.

LORD JUSTICE CLERK was clearly of opinion, that when one crime is charged, another, and a different one cannot be proved under that libel. This none could dispute. But was that the case here? The Pannel is accused of sedition; and will any person say, that it is not a circumstance of sedition for the Pannel to have inflamed the minds of the people against Courts of Justice, so important, and so material a branch of the Constitution. Under the Statute of King James wherever art and part is libelled, the Prosecutor can prove every fact and circumstance, and no objection of generality is admitted.

The objection was over-ruled. The witness was called

back, who deponed: That she had heard Mr. Muir say, that a monarchical government would be the best in this country, under proper restrictions; but that republican governments were the best: That she has been sent by Mr. Muir to an organist in the streets of Glasgow, and desired him to play ca ira.

Mr. Muir was asked, if he had any questions to put to this witness. He replied; I disdain to put a question to a witness of this description. The witness turned round to Mr. Muir, and said she wished to ask him one question. This the Lord Justice Clerk would not permit, as he would suffer no altercation of that kind. The Court expressed their strongest disapprobation of the terms which Mr. Muir had used; and Lord Henderland said, that if Mr. Muir had been acting as a counsel at the bar, instead of being in that situation, he would immediately have sent him to prison for it! The conduct and the accuracy of this witness, was much applicated by the Court.

As the witness was withdrawing, a Juryman called her back, and asked her, if she had had any quarrel in Mr. Muir's father's family, to which she replied, that so far from that, her mistress had given her 5s. more than her wages, which were at her option, and that Miss Muir, had gher a petticoat, with some other presents.

THOMAS WILSON,

Depones, That the witness was in use to dress Mr. Muir, and dressed him in Autumn last: That Mr. Muir having asked the witness if he had bought Paine's Works; on being told that he had not, he advised him to get a copy, as a barber's shop was a good place to read, but he did not buy it. That he bought a copy of the Address to the Addressors, and kept it a day or two, but this was not by Mr. Muir's advice. That he remembers an old man from the country coming to Mr. Muir, when he was dressing him, and Mr. Muir told the witness, that the old man was a great reformer. Upon which the old man replied, that Mr. Muir was only taunting upon him.

Upon being interrogated by Mr. Muir, depones, that he has heard Mr. Muir fay, that he would maintain the Conflictution: That he wished for peace and good order, and good morals among the people; and that he never heard him fay any thing against the King: That he has seen Mr. Muirs' Library in the country, which is a large room open to all the family.

The LORD ADVOCATE stated to the Court, that he was informed that William Muir, the person committed to prison, was a parishoner of the Rev. Mr. Dunn's minister of Kirkintilloch, one of the witnesses inclosed against the Pannel. That if Mr. Dunn was allowed to converse with him, he might remove his error, and preserve him from suffering the dreadful punishment due to his obstinacy. He therefore moved their Lordships to allow Mr. Dunn to converse with William Muir for the purpose.

Mr. Mur opposed the motion .-- He faid, he had already confented, and would still confent to admit the declaration of William Muir against him to be true, although not accompanied by an oath. To prevent the witness from suffering any punishment, he would furrender the right which the law gave him; but, that he certainly would object to conversation betwixt that witness and Mr. Dunn: Not that he suspected any thing improper to take place in that conversation, but that this was a great and important cause; a trial that might create precedents dangerous to those who might come after. By the law of Scotland all witnesses. must be examined apart from each other. The law is jealous of their conversation: The law dreads their collusion, in framing together a confistent story. If, in this case, you allow Mr. Dunn and the witness, of both of whom I have no fuspicion, to converse together, you cannot refuse it to others. It will be fufficient for two witnesses, who may have an interest in a trial, to play the same concerted game, in order to tell the same concerted story with more accuracy, and with greater fafety.

The LORD ADVOCATE declared, that he would depart from

back, who deponed: That she had heard Mr. Muir say, that a monarchical government would be the best in this country, under proper restrictions; but that republican governments were the best: That she has been sent by Mr. Muir to an organist in the streets of Glasgow, and desired him to play ca ira.

Mr. Muir was asked, if he had any questions to put to this witness. He replied; I disdain to put a question to a witness of this description. The witness turned round to Mr. Muir, and said she wished to ask him one question. This the Lord Justice Clerk would not permit, as he would suffer no altercation of that kind. The Court expressed their strongest disapprobation of the terms which Mr. Muir had used; and Lord Henderland said, that if Mr. Muir had been acting as a counsel at the bar, instead of being in that situation, he would immediately have sent him to prison for it! The conduct and the accuracy of this witness, was much applicated by the Court.

As the witness was withdrawing, a Juryman called her back, and asked her, if she had had any quarrel in Mr. Muir's father's family, to which she replied, that so far from that, her mistress had given her 5s. more than her wages, which were at her option, and that Miss Muir, had gher a petticoat, with some other presents.

THOMAS WILSON,

Depones, That the witness was in use to dress Mr. Muir, and dressed him in Autumn last: That Mr. Muir having asked the witness if he had bought Paine's Works; on being told that he had not, he advised him to get a copy, as a barber's shop was a good place to read, but he did not buy it. That he bought a copy of the Address to the Addressor, and kept it a day or two, but this was not by Mr. Muir's advice. That he remembers an old man from the country coming to Mr. Muir, when he was dressing him, and Mr. Muir told the witness, that the old man was a great reformer. Upon which the old man replied, that Mr. Muir was only taunting upon him.

Upon being interrogated by Mr. Muir, depones, that he has heard Mr. Muir fay, that he would maintain the Conflitution: That he wished for peace and good order, and good morals among the people; and that he never heard him fay any thing against the King: That he has seen Mr. Muirs' Library in the country, which is a large room open to all the family.

The LORD ADVOCATE stated to the Court, that he was informed that William Muir, the person committed to prison, was a parishoner of the Rev. Mr. Dunn's minister of Kirkintilloch, one of the witnesses inclosed against the Pannel. That if Mr. Dunn was allowed to converse with him, he might remove his error, and preserve him from suffering the dreadful punishment due to his obstinacy. He therefore moved their Lordships to allow Mr. Dunn to converse with William Muir for the purpose.

Mr. Muir opposed the motion .-- He faid, he had already confented, and would still confent to admit the declaration of William Muir against him to be true, although not accompanied by an oath. To prevent the witness from suffering any punishment, he would furrender the right which the law gave him; but, that he certainly would object to conversation betwixt that witness and Mr. Dunn: Not that he fuspected any thing improper to take place in that conyerfation, but that this was a great and important cause; a trial that might create precedents dangerous to those who might come after. By the law of Scotland all witnesses. must be examined apart from each other. The law is jealous of their conversation: The law dreads their collusion, in framing together a confistent story. If, in this case, you allow Mr. Dunn and the witness, of both of whom I have no fuspicion, to converse together, you cannot refuse it to others. It will be fufficient for two witnesses, who may have an interest in a trial, to play the same concerted game, in order to tell the same concerted story with more accuracy, and with greater fafety.

The LORD ADVOCATE declared, that he would depart from

Mr. Dunn as a witness, and that the conversation should proceed in the presence of an officer of the court, or of some person on the part of Mr. Muir.

Mr. Dunn was called in. He was ordered to converse with the witness in presence of one of the macers; enjoined not to speak on the subject of the trial, but solely about the witness's scruples to take the oath.

JOHN MUIR,

Deponed, That he knew Mr. James Muir's father; and that he saw Mr. Muir at his house in September: That Mr. Muir and he had a conversation about Mr. Paine's book; when he asked Mr. Muir, as a savour, to get him it; when Mr. Muir said, he had it not, but would send for it; and that a servant girl was accordingly sent for it, and said she had purchased it at Brash and Reid's, Booksellers, in Glasgow; that he read it and took it home with him, but that he would not have bought it, if he could have got the loan of it.

JOHN BARCLAY,

Deponed, That he is acquainted with Mr. Muir; that he had a conversation with Mr. Muir about Paine's book: That he asked Mr. Muir what book it was, when he answered, that he might buy it, if he chose, as it was printed; but afterwards said it was not a book for us.

Upon Mr. Muir's interrogation, deponed, That he is an elder of the parish of Calder, and that he voted on the same side with Mr. Muir, in the election of a minister; that, in consequence of this, he was frequently with Mr. Muir; and, in his library, from which he borrowed some books; that he had many conversations with Mr. Muir, and heard him say, that this constitution was an excellent one, and the best in the world; that he has heard him praise the King, and always heard him speak of order, regularity, and obedience to the ruling powers; that he called upon Mr. Muir since he came to Scotland, who sent down a message that he

could not fee him, as he would have no correspondence with any who might be cited against him as witnesses on this trial, until it was finished.

JAMES CAMPBELL,

Deponed, that he was present at a meeting of the Convention; that he called there on his way home from the Parliament House; that Mr. Muir was not there, but came in foon after and read a paper, which, being shewn to him, he admitted to be the same with the Address from the Society of United Irishmen of Dublin; that Colonel Dalrymple opposed the paper being read, and talked of taking a protest; that, after Mr. Muir read it, he said nothing more; but, before he read it, he spoke of answering it; but, in this, there was no vote taken; that he does not know how the paper came, and that a copy was afterwards fent him in a blank cover. Being interrogated whether the purport of Mr. Muir's speeches approved or not of this paper, fays, he knows nothing more than that he proposed its being read and answered. Depones, That it was assigned as a reason for not receiving the Address, that they had no connection with it; that Mr. Muir thought there was no impropriety in receiving and answering it, and would take the burden on his own shoulders.

JAMES DENHOLM,

Deponed, That he was present at a meeting of the Convention in December; that Mr. Muir was there, and he heard him read that paper, called the Irish Address: That objections were made to its being read, and Mr. Muir answered, that he saw no harm in it. Colonel Dalrymple protested, and he believes, Mr. Bell did so also; but he does not remember Mr. Morthland being there; that he thought it possible it might be illegal; that he saw it on the table, but does not know whether Mr. Muir brought it or not; that he understood that Mr. Muir moved, that an answer should be sent; that this was dissented to, but

r

he does not recollect for what reason, and he thinks that it carried, Not to be fent. The Address being shewn to him, he admitted it to be the same which he had formerly signed.

Being interrogated by Mr. Muir; deponed, That he never heard him fay any thing unconstitutional; that the purpose of the Association was to get a Reform in Parliament; that he knows of no other object, but that he understood their object was an alteration, and that he was not a member of the Convention.

ROBERT FORSYTH.

Deponed, That he was present at a meeting of the Convention; that Mr. Muir was there, and read a printed paper; that there were some objections made to the reading of it; that the witness himself made one, which was, 'That it was not expedient to have any connection with others;' that it was a paper which we ought not to have any thing to do with; that it was a paper containing some expressions too strong, such as, 'an inviolable constitution was a tyranny,' that Mr. Muir defended the paper, and said, it should lie upon the table.

Upon Mr. Muir's interrogatories, the witness thinks the Convention came to a resolution to adhere to the genuing principles of the Constitution; that the object was to reform the elections, and shorten the duration of Parliament; that Mr. Muir never advised any deviation from the constitution; that he never heard him speak ill of the constitution, but that he heard him advise order.

WILLIAM MUIR.

The scruples of this witness having been removed; he was brought to the bar, and consented to take the oath. Deponed, That he was twice present in Wallace's house in Kirkintilloch, once before the society was formed, and once along with Mr. Muir; that Freeland was there, and Wallace; that, at this meeting, a copy of Paine's Works was taken out of the great-coat pocket of Mr. Muir; that he

does not remember what was faid about the book; that he is not fure that Mr. Muir spoke of the will of the people being the sovereign will, but that it was faid Paine said so; that he got eleven copies of the Patriot, and a copy of the Political Progress; that he got them from Mr. Muir in his sather's house, at Huntershill, who bade him keep them, and to shew them to a society he was in, which was a society for the purpose of purchasing and reading books.

Being interrogated by Mr. Muir; depones, That he does not remember to have heard him speak against government; that Mr. Muir did not advise unconstitutional meafures; that he heard him speak about the government; that he heard him tell how Sarum was represented.

The Lord Advocate caused the sentence of fugitation against Mr. Muir to be read, [which is annexed in the Appendix] and afterwards proposed to prove the Declarations before the Sheriff, and the papers that were sound upon Mr. Muir when before the magistrate at Stranraer. In order to save time and trouble, Mr. Muir agreed to admit them without proof, but under this express condition, that none of these papers should be used in evidence against him of any criminalty.

If these papers, said Mr. Muir, had afforded any weighty discoveries of my guilt, the Indictment should have so stated. It was impossible that these papers could afford the smallest presumption against me, and therefore there is not a single article of the Indictment alledged that they were of a culpable tendency. It is indeed afferted in the libel, that these papers were found upon me; but that they are of a felonious or a seditious tendency, is no where set forth. In the concluding proposition of the Indictment, in which the whole criminal charge is recapitulated, these papers are passed over in silence. In proof of this permit me to read the conclusion:

"AT LEAST, times and places above mentioned, the faid feditious speeches and harangues were uttered, the faid feditious books or pamphlets recommended to be

"purchased and perused, the said seditious books or pame" phlets circulated and distributed, as aforesaid, and the said wicked and inflammatory address produced, read, recommended, and approved of, in manner above mentioned; and the said Thomas Muir is guilty actor, or art and part, of all and each, or one or other of the foresaid crimes. ALL WHICH, or part thereof, being sound proven, by the verdict of an assize, before our Lord Justice General, Lord Justice Clerk, and Lords Commissioners of Justiciary, in a Court of Justiciary to be holden by them within the Criminal Court-house of Edinburgh, the said Thomas Muir OUGHT to be punished with the pains of law, to deter others from committing the like crimes in all time coming."

The Lord Advocate in reply stated, that he was entituled to bring foreward every circumstance which could criminate the Pannel, although these circumstances were only collateral, nor in issue or necessary in the conclusion.

[The Clerk of Court accordingly read, the declarations, the passports, and the other papers, which are anexed in the appendix.]

When the Clerk of Court proceeded to read the letter figned J. Muir, the Pannel arose, and said, That he saw it was vain for him to make any objection, however strong it might be in law, it was sure to be over-ruled; but every mind tinctured with humanity, would shrink at the wanton disclosure of the anxiety, and the grief of a private samily; especially when the Prosecutor had himself admitted, that the papers which he had read and proposed to read, were not necessary to the conclusion of the libel.

The LORD ADVOCATE stated, that his conduct proceeded from no idle curiosity, that the letters found upon Mr. Muir would completely do away the affertion of his anxiety to return home, and prove the guilt attending his slight.

I am convinced, faid Mr. Muir, of the reverse. I gladly join issue with the Prosecutor, and consent to these letters being read.

After the papers subjoined in the Appendix, had been read, the Lord Advocate declared the proof sinished on the part of the Crown, and Mr. Muir commenced his proof in exculpation.

PROOF IN EXCULPATION.

WILLIAM SKIRVING,

Depones, That it was thought proper that Mr. Muir should attend a meeting of the Friends of the People in London; that on this account he left Edinburgh, and went to London in January last; that he received a letter from Mr. Muir, dated from London, mentioning that he had appeared in the Society at London, of which Mr. Grey is a member, and giving an account of what had been done there; that owing to some late circumstances which occurred in his family he cannot at prefent find Mr. Muir's letter, but that, according to the best of his recollection, he thinks, Mr. Muir wrote him, he was advifed by fome friends to go to France, as he might have some influence with the leading people, in mitigating the fate of the King; that while Mr. Muir was at Paris, he received a letter from him, giving an account of the execution, and that Mr. Muir mentioned in that letter, that he would return as foon as his friends fhould be of opinion his prefence was necessary in Scotland; that he has been frequently with Mr. Muir in private, and has often heard him speak in public, in the Societies; that he never heard him speak against the constitution, advised the people to diforder or fedition, but that he always inculcated upon them, that there was no other mode of obtaining the proposed reform than by applying to Parliament by respectful petitions; and that Mr. Muir never submitted to his confideration any plan of government; that the general tenor of Mr. Muir's Address to the People in the Societies,

was to impress upon their minds the necessity of good order, and that before they attempted public Reformation they should begin by reforming themselves; that he does not believe Mr.! Muir is connected with any Society, abroad or at home, for the purpose of exciting sedition; that he never heard Mr. Muir speak against the monarchical part of our conflitution, and that he has been in his company in his most unguarded moments; that he remembers a private conversation with Mr. Muir, in which he disapproved of many of the principles in Paine's book, and both agreed that many of them were impracticable; that he was happy to find the Pannel of this opinion, and he then told the Pannel, that he had written on the fubject, and proposed to give lectures on government; that Mr. Muir approved fo much of the proposal, that he informed him that, for himself, he would dispose of eighty or a hundred tickets.

Upon the interrogation of the Lord Advocate, if he was not the person who had designed himself, on a late occasion Secretary General to the Association of the Friends of the People;—answered, That it was a mere mistake in writing out the Petition, for he was Secretary to the General Association of the Friends of the People.

Being interrogated by Mr. Muir, if he remembered the tenor of the answer which he proposed in the Convention to the Address of the Society of United Irishmen in Dublin, depones, That he cannot recollect.

JAMES CAMPBELL,

Depones, That in the beginning of last winter, he acted as agent for Mr. Muir; that while the Pannel was in France, he received from him two letters from Paris, which he produced, and likewise had received another from Calais, upon which at present he could not lay his hands. These two letters were read by the Clerk of Court, and are subjoined in the appendix. They expressed Mr. Muir's willingness to return home whenever it should be necessary, but at the same time his regret for so soon leaving an amiable set

of acquaintances, with whom his friendship was just com-

mencing.

Upon the Pannel's interrogatory, he depones, That he never heard him attempt to excite the people of the Societies to fedition or outrage; that he exhorted them to avoid riotous conduct, to behave orderly, peaceably, and, above all, to attend to the moral characters of those whom they admitted members; that he also remembers Mr. Muir's opinion of Mr. Paine's book was, that it might be dangerous for people of weak minds.

JOHN BUCHANAN,

Depones, That he has often heard Mr. Muir speak in the Societies; that he never advised sedition, riot, insurrection, nor unconstitutional measures, but, that they should act peaceably and orderly; that he said, the constitution ought to direct all their applications to Parliament; and, that he used to say in conversation, that the constitution ought to be to us the polar star, and that we should begin our Reformation by sirst erecting among ourselves the temple of morality; that he had more sense than to think of procuring a redress of grievances by any other than constitutional measures; and that he does not remember of hearing him give any opinion upon Paine's books.

WILLIAM JOHNSTONE,

Depones, That the principles of Mr. Muir were firmly for supporting the constitution as it stood at present; and that all the other pinciples, held by him, grew out of this one; that Mr. Muir never advised tumult, but recommended sobriety, and said, that nothing would do but an application to Parliament by way of a Petition; that it is inconsistent with his knowledge that Mr. Muir circulated any books or pamphlets; that while Mr. Muir was in France, he received from him one or two letters upon general subjects, but that he remembers Mr. Muir expressed in them his intention of returning home; that he had sought for these letters but could not lay his hand upon them.

MAURICE THOMSON,

Depones, That he once heard Mr. Muir in a fociety of the Friends of the People deliver a speech, but does not remember much of it; that he heard him speak about reform, measures which were constitutional, and the necessity of being moderate, and never heard him advise the people to excite disturbances.

CHARLES SALTER,

Depones, That in focieties he has heard Mr. Muir speak three or four times; that he exhorted them to constitutional measures, peace, and order.

PETER WOOD,

Depones, That he has heard the Pannel speak in societies, and impress upon them the necessity of petitioning the House of Commons; that he never heard him speak against the King or House of Lords; that he never saw him distribute any books or pamphlets; and that he heard him say; no members should be admitted into the societies who were inclined to saction.

DAVID DALE, JUN.

Depones, That he has feen Mr. Muir at the Star Inn at Glasgow, in meetings of the Friends of the People; that he remembers a motion was made, that books should be recommended to the society; that this motion was opposed by Mr. Muir, who argued, that most books were written too much in the spirit of party; that no truth could be got from them, and that the only way to procure knowledge was by general reading; that he advised the people to inform themseves on both sides of the question;—to seek reform by measures calm and constitutional; and that they had no other mode of obtaining it than by petitioning Parliament; that he never heard him say any thing which had a tendency to excite sedition; and that he always advised the people to be quiet and orderly; that he never knew of

his distributing books, or recommending Paine's Works; and that he advised the Friends of the People to expel any member who might behave seditiously or disorderly.

Being interrogated by the Lord Advocate, depones, That it was at a meeting of the Friends of the People, at the Star Inn, that he heard Mr. Muir oppose the recommending of particular books; that he does not recollect the particular month when this occurred.

Being interrogated, if he recollects when Mr. Muir was first apprehended, depones, he could not precisely. Being asked, if he thought it was in the month of January, or in any of the succeeding months; depones, he could not be positive, but thinks he might have heard it about five months ago. Upon which the Prosecutor observed, he had a very short memory; to which the witness assented.

WILLIAM RIDDELL,

Depones, That Mr. Muir always advised peaceable and constitutional measures; that he does not remember of hearing him recommend any books in particular; that he never saw any disorder in the meetings of the Friends of the People, and that he does not recollect Mr. Muir's advising to petition Parliament.

WILLIAM REID,

Depones, That he is a bookfeller in Glasgow, and acts in the company under the firm of Brash and Reid; that he remembers to have asked Mr. Muir's opinion about the propriety of selling Paine's book; that Mr. Muir said it was an improper book, and that in selling it there might be danger.

Upon the interrogatory of the Profecutor, depones, that Mr. Muir gave him this advice a few days before Mr. Honeyman, the sheriff of the county, came to take precognitions concerning that book.

GEORGE WADDEL,

Depones, That he has been at meetings of the Societies of the Friends of the People in Glasgow; that at one of these meetings, he remembers Col. M'Lood and Mr. Muir being present; that when a motion was made for recommending particular books, both Col. M'Leod and Mr. Muir opposed it, as in most books there was too great a mixture of truth and falfehood; that the only books which he remembers them to have recommended, were, Blackstone's Commentaries upon the Laws of England, and Erskine's inflitutes of the Laws of Scotland; that Mr. Muir advised moderate measures; that this was the continual subject of his difcourse; that he faid he would glory to have the table of the House of Commons covered with petitions; that he fpoke to Mr. Muir about an address of congratulation to the French, in imitation of Dundee, but that Mr. Muir difapproved of it.

JOHN RUSSEL.

After this witness had been sworn, the common question was put to him, if any person had instructed him what to say, He answered; None, except to tell the truth. Being asked who instructed him so. He replied, he could point out no person in particular, but that it was the general advice of all to whom he spoke. He was obliged to produce his summons to be a witness, and it appeared that the citation had been given him sour days only before his examination. He was informed by the Court, that any who spoke to him, must have done so in the interval of these four days, and that therefore it was impossible that he could forget all their names. The witness replied, that the general instruction to speak the truth was so common, that he could not remember at present, any particular person who had given it.

The LORD ADVOCATE moved, That the witness should be committed to prison for prevarication!

Mr. Muir arose, and attempted to speak in defence of

the witness, but as he was beginning, was interrupted by the Court, who commanded him to sit down, as he had no right or title to interfere in the business.

LORD HENDERLAND gave his opinion: Every appearance was against the witness; that he wished to conceal the truth; that he merited punishment, and should be committed to prison for a certain period.

The rest of the Judges concurred with Lord Henderland; and Mr. Russel was committed to prison for the term of three weeks, as guilty of concealing the truth upon oath.

JOHN BROCK,

Depones, That he was at one meeting of the Friends of the People, in the Star Inn at Glasgow, where he heard Mr. Muir recommend adherence to the Conflictation, and to good order, and that he declared, he would leave the Friends of the People, if ever they should proceed to riotous meafures; that he has heard him speak of books of the Law, but cannot be positive to any in particular, only, he thinks he remembers to have heard him mention a work of Mr. Locke's.

WILLIAM CLIDDESDALE,

Depones, That he never joined himself to any Society of the Friends of the People, but that he is a member of the Society in Glasgow, for the Reform of the Boroughs; that about the 13th December last Mr. Muir, when the witness was present, visited that Society, said that the Borough Reformers had made great exertions; recommended to them perseverance, sirmness, and measures which were peaceable; that he did not exhort them to riot or insurrection; that he remembers of hearing Mr. Muir observe, that some things in Paine's book were extremely good, and somethings impracticable; that he heard him run down Liberty and Equality, as it implied violation of property, and assigned, that a division of property was a chimera which never could exist.

GEORGE BELL,

Depones, That in the Society of the Friends of the People at Glafgow, he has heard Mr. Muir speak, and that he has heard him declare that he would admit no members into the society, but such as acknowledged the King, House of Lords, and House of Commons; that he never heard him speak against the Constitution, and that he did not recommend any books in particular, but only such books in general as would inform their minds, and render them better members of Society.

REV. DANIEL M'CARTHUR,

Depones, That he remembers to have had a conversation with Mr. Muir in the Coffee Room at Glasgow, in the months of September or October last; that he saw Mr. Muir and another gentleman walking together; the gentleman having gone away, Mr. Muir came up to the witness, and said, that the person with whom he had been, was Chairman of the Society of the Friends of the People in Edinburgh; that the witness said to Mr. Muir, Do you not think this a wrong time for to insist for a Reform in Parliament? To which Mr. Muir answered, That he thought it a proper time, as the country enjoyed the blessings of peace, that there was no comparison betwixt this country and France; that in France, they had sought a revolution, and had brought it about, but that in Britain we wanted no Revolution, but only a moderate Reform.

JAMES M'GIBBON,

Depones, That he was a member of the Society of Reform in Campfie; that Mr. Muir came there, and that he did not recommend any books in particular, nor did he speak against the King, House of Lords, or House of Commons.

ROBERT HENRY,

Depones, That he is a member of the same society with

the preceding witness; that Mr. Muir came there; that he spoke of no books in particular, and heard him say nothing against the King, Lords, and Commons, but that he recommended peaceable measures.

WILLIAM ORR,

Deponed, That Mr. Muir and Colonel Dalrymple came to Paisley; that in the company of the witness they visited, and addressed the different societies of the Friends of the People there; that Mr. Muir, in his speeches, inculcated a firm attachment to the King and Constitution; that he recommended peace and regularity, and reprobated riot and sedition; that he exhorted the people to be steady, and to pursue their object by all legal means; that after having gone through the different societies, Mr. Muir, Colonel Dalrymple, and the witness, went to Sinclair's Inn, in Paisley; that in the course of private conversation, he heard Mr. Muir say nothing against the King and Constitution, but that he heard him say, that the King was the best of princes.

JAMES CRAIG,

Depones, That in the focieties of the Friends of the People in Paisley, he heard Mr. Muir declare, that the Constitution was a good constitution, and that the King was the friend and father of his people.

JAMES RICHARDSON,

Deponed, That he is a member of the fame fociety of the Friends of the People in London of which Mr. Grey is a member; that he is not a member of any fociety of the Friends of the People in Scotland, but that he was present at a meeting of the Friends of the People in Glasgow, when he heard Mr. Muir exhort the people to keep by the Constitution, and that if any of them were against it, they should be expelled; that in a masterly manner, he exposed Liberty and Equality if it implied division of property, and

that he said such a system was totally impracticable, and he used every argument to excite the people to keep to the Old Constitution.

Mr. Muir now declared, That he had finished the proof in exculpation; that it was in his power to adduce many more witnesses, but that he deemed it totally unnecessary.

The LORD ADVOCATE then rose and addressed the Jury,

Gentlemen of the Jury,

It is my duty, now, to require your most attentive and deliberate confideration of what you have heard. The moment is come, when the truth or falsehood of what is laid to the charge of the Pannel at the bar, is to be afcertained. He is a man, who, under the pretext of a reform, as I shall afterwards shew you, has been sowing mischief and sedition; he appears here before you, after being a fugitive from his country; and it is now that by your verdict, a verdict which is uncontroulable, and from which there is no appeal, his guilt must be established, or the imputation thrown out against him, completely wiped away. It is a moment which I have long wished to see; for during the circumstances which occurred last winter, among the numerous lift of offenders who appeared, this is the man who of them all appeared to me the most criminal, and whom I most wished to lay hold of; he in every thing betrays a most decided spirit against the Constitution, and all under the veil of Parliamentary Reform.

This country has feen many inflances of pernicious effects of feditious writings and conduct, but I am perfuaded a wider range of diabolical mischief will appear in this man, than ever was feen in England, or any where else.—Who could believe that a man of a liberal education, an Advocate at this bar, could be found among villagers, and manufacturers, poor and ignorant, for the purpose of sowing fedition and discontent?

The charges against the Pannel are divided into three

heads, all centering, however, in the general charge of exeiting fedition and discontent, by various steps of conduct. He has circulated Paine's Works, a book which one of his witnesses says, he declared to be dangerous to weak minds, with an obstinacy and pertinacity, which plainly indicated that his wish was to alter or overturn the Constitution. He went to Kirkintilloch and Campsie, carrying Mr. Paine with him, and advised the constituting of meetings, which, without him, would never have been established; he came like the dæmon of sedition, recommending that club government, which has produced all the anarchy we see in France, and which cannot be supported in any well established government.

He has been in a convention of people, calling themselves Reformers; but the spirit of the people shewed itself, and it no longer exists: he in that meeting endeavoured to support and defend a paper coming from our sister kingdom: It came from the Society of United Irishmen, highly seditious, if not treasonable; and yet he was the ringleader to produce, to read, and approve.

These three charges, then, Gentlemen, which unite themselves in one, that of exciting discontent against Government, have been brought with deliberation; and, it is now my duty to shew you, have been established by the evidence.

I wish to have the opinion of a respectable Jury on the subject. Whether sedition is a crime of the detestable nature I represent it? I bring forward the arm of justice to suppress it; and it is in your power to invigorate it, or palfy it in a moment.

Gentlemen, as the charges are threefold, the evidence must be of three kinds. I shall speak of each in their order, and I must say, that if ever a strong body of evidence appeared in a difficult case, it is to be seen here.

The first charge is, making feditious speeches and harangues, and encouraging improper meetings. To support this, we find that he went to Kirkintilloch and Campsie,

and recommended improper publications to enlighten their minds; but in this he does not appear to have been very fuccessful, if we take the Vice President as an example of their influence. The evidence here rests chiefly on Johnfton and Freeland, particularly Johnston, and we should remark the mode in which he gave his evidence, he was calm. accurate, and clear. He and Freeland agree that the Pannel spoke of the success of the French arms: With what motive could he discourse on such a subject to weak, uninformed, illiterate people, but to fulfil his feditious intentions? He talked of the weight of taxes. Gentlemen, we may fee these burdens lightened; but if this gentleman's mode of doing it were to go on, what fort of relief we should have by the diminution of our taxes and the payment of our debt, are topics of which you will judge as you He faid that their taxes would be lefs, if ought to do. they were more equally reprefented, and that from the flourishing state of France, they could not bring their goods to market fo cheap as Frenchmen. What could possibly be more calculated to produce discontent and sedition? Had fuch focieties previously existed, the case would have been different; but he appears as the ringleader, he was there on the Tuesday preceding, conversing about it; he came to the meeting and harangued them; he adjourned with them afterwards to Wallace's: Can any evidence be more connected or more clear that he was the main instrument?

The fecond charge is the circulating feditious books, containing the passages libelled in the indictment, which you may read. Freeland is again an evidence here; and I must observe, that it appears to be doubtful, whether he told all he knew; from his face he plainly prevarieated; and, when closely questioned, the sweat broke upon it. He told you the story of getting Paine's book out of Muir's pocket. I may here observe, that such a mode of circulating a book is that which a man in his situation will naturally adopt; he will not go on openly, but privately, and under various pretexts; by his fruits must you know him; you

must compare his actions with his professions, and then

judge.

We have evidence of his recommending and buying the Paisley Declaration and other books, which go to prove he is tainted from head to foot, and is as unworthy to live under the protection of the law as the meanest felon.

You would observe his conduct, Gentlemen, on Anne Fisher leaving the foot of the table. What can be laid to her charge? her evidence was clear and correct, and was founded on the best basis, her's being confirmed by the testimony of others. But what was his conduct? the only thing, indeed, which he could do,—an endeavour to shake her testimony by an illiberal and unfounded infinuation, that we procured our information only from domestics.

To what then amounts her testimony, which stands beyond a doubt: That she was sent repeatedly from her master's house, the Pannel's father, who I understand is a respectable man; far be it from me to attach any criminality to him; the Pannel has the miserable reslexion that the distresses which have embittered the lives of his parents have been brought on by himself;—that she was sent repeatedly to buy Paine and other works for country people that came into the shop, who by this man's persuasion must out with their miserable sixpence to purchase Paine's Rights of Man; that he used constantly to be reading seditious publications in the back shop.—It was there, in that cathedral of sedition, he sat like a spider, weaving his filthy web to catch the unwary.

The witness specifies the people to whom he procured Paine's book—his own uncle, John Muir the hatter, Barclay the elder, and Wilson the barber. I have produced all of them in support of the girl, except the uncle, whom I declined making an evidence against his nephew. He advised Wilson, who completely supported the girl in this, to keep a copy in his shop to enlighten his customers minds; for that it consuted Burke entirely,...Mr. Burke, a man

whose wonderful talents and genius have lately been so much exerted in the service of his country.

From these circumstances I have only to desire you to look at Paine's book, at the passages in the indictment; and if you are loyal to your king, if you are loyal to your country, and would preserve it, you will find this man guilty, who has given so decided an opinion in favour of that wretched outcast. His works I never read till my official duty compelled me to it; I need not give my opinion of it; the determinations of courts of law, and the unanimous opinion of the country, have marked the detestation in which they are held.

[His Lordship here read some of the passages in the indictment.]

What avails then, Gentlemen, all this evidence of attachment to the king and constitution, when he unequivocally approves fentiments such as these? We are told, indeed, by one of his witnesses, that he advised him not to sell Paine; but unfortunately the answer given to the question put to him upon his cross examination, proves that they were not his real sentiments, but for sear of danger, as the book began to be taken notice of.

We find from the evidence of the girl Fisher, that the very organist could not pass the house of this oracle of mischief, without being defired to play que ira.

He used to say too, that, if every man had a vote, he would be member for Calder, and members would have thirty or forty shillings a-day. All these circumstances go to prove incontestibly, that France and French principles were continually in his view, and that he aimed at the destruction of the present government; with respect to which, however, I hope his prophecies will be as false, as they have already been in regard to the success of the French.

I may here remark that the evidence of Fisher appears in a triffing instance to be contradicted by the elder Barclay; but you should remember the salvo with which that old gentleman chose to premise his evidence.

I come now to the third charge, which relates to Mr. Muir's conduct in the Convention. It is here incontestibly proved, that he read, approved, and defended, the Irish Address. Will you approve this paper? Will you difregard this convincing proof of his guilt? Be his studies ever so great, be his views ever so extensive, will you permit him arrogantly to set up his seditious opinions in opposition to the government and constituion? His conduct in some instances would almost appear to be marked with insanity, were we not finding him the determined ringleader in an uniform scheme of sedition.

This, Gentlemen, finishes my remarks upon the evidence; upon the evidence which I think is invincible; but there are two topics on which I must beg to make some observations.

Mr. Muir told us, that he was carried out of this country by business of importance, and that he was detained in France; and that he always wished to have a trial. I could have no objections to his proving this: It would have argued some degree of honour. But his professions are false and consuted. He left this country under an impression of guilt; and lately returned, the pest of Scotland, with the same intention as before.

The reason of his going to France, it seems, was the insluence he might possibly have in saving the life of the King
of France; and he was sent there by the Friends of the
People. Never was I more astonished than at the impudence of this evidence. Did Skirving know or recollect,
that he was almost accusing him of high treason? But why
was he interested in this event? It was an event, as his
witnesses tell you, that would hurt the common cause.—
What cause? their intention of effecting a change in the
government of this country: There then he stands a missionary from a society in this country to France,—a circumstance which greatly confirms his guilt.

I ij

When the trial was coming on, I postponed it longer than I ought to have done, to give him every chance; and I inferted it in the papers, which might perhaps reach him

roaming in some part of the world.

The shipmaster's receipt bears date the 16th May. What became of him from this date to the 31st July when he was apprehended. He informed nobody of his coming home. How wonderful that no letter was driven by the winds or impelled by the waves, to give notice of what he fays was his earnest wish. The reverse in fact appears to have been the case. By the Letter of J. Muir, his father, we find him in Ireland, doing we know not what; except what we learn from the diploma of the worthy fociety of United Irishmen. He is at last apprehended returning into this country, with all the infignia of fedition about him.

I have but one remark more. You may in fome degree judge of a man, by the company he keeps; amongst Muir's papers we find a letter here in my hand addressed to the Rev. Fische Palmer, a man who is indicted to stand trial at Perth, in the course of a few days, and whom most of you must know. The impression of the seal too is worth remarking, a cap of liberty on a spear, and under is the motto ca ira. I beg your attention, Gentlemen, to the quotation I shall now read from a celebrated French author, in The passage his treating of the British Constitution. Lordship read, was from De Lolme, on the Constitution of England, from the middle of p. 534, to the end.]

I hope, Gentlemen, this case will be viewed by you in a light fuch as this, that you will protect your King from the attacks of his enemies, that you will protect this temple of freedom from the attempts of the factious, but particularly against that man at the bar, who, has been sowing fedition with fo liberal a hand. You now, however, may feize him in his career, and by your verdict do justice to

your country, and honour to yourfelves.

The Lord Advocate here finished his address to the Jury, -He spoke two hours and ten minutes. We are forry,

that our present situation has precluded us from stating his Lordship's speech at full length, but the foregoing embraces the most material parts and corresponds exactly with what we have already seen published, and announced to be a comprehensive, and in many parts, a literal abstract of the most material parts of his Lordship's speech."

Mr. Muir then addressed himself to the Jury as follows:

Gentlemen of the Jury,

I rife, in my own defence. - All that malice could devife; all that flander could circulate; has been directed against me. I speak with joy, and with triumph. After an investigation into my public transactions, and into my private conduct, the most minute and the most unexampled, which ever occurred in this country; my moral character stands fecure and unimpeached. With the annonymous, the worthless, and the paid affassins of public reputation, I disdained to enter the lifts. 'To this day I looked forward with expectation; when before you, in the presence of Scotland, I should not merely remove the suspicion of guilt, but should demonstrate my innocency. I will not imitate the example of the Public Profecutor, who has finished his pleading. Sounding, and unfubstantial declamation is unfuitable for you, and it is unworthy of me. This is not an hour to temporize. The eyes of this country are fixed upon us both. The records of this trial will pass down to posterity. When our ashes shall be scattered by the winds of heaven, the impartial voice of future times will rejudge your Let faction rage; -let the spirit of party, in the present hour, proudly domineer.-The illusion will soon vanish away. In solitude the power of recollection will affume its influence; and then, it will be material to you, whether or not you have acted uprightly, or finned against your own eternal conscience, in my acquittal, or in my concondemnation!

Before I enter into a particular vindication of myfelf, let me take notice of two circumstances, strongly infisted upon by the Public Profecutor, which have little relation to the general nature of the evidence which has been adduced .---Long, indeed, has he harangued upon them; and has concluded his speech by exhibiting them in every shape which his imagination could invent. He maintains, that a confcioufnefs of guilt obliged me to leave this country after an information had been filed against me, and after I had been examined by a magistrate. I will admit the fact of my departure.-In these days-in these circumstances-is that to be ascribed to conscious guilt alone? If the whole strength of arbitrary power is extended against an individual, is there merit in exposing himself as a facrifice which cannot be useful to the country, and which may only prefent poflerity with a new addition to the immense catalogue of the victims of despotism. - If two motives had only existed to which you could affign my departure, you are bound to afcribe it to the most charitable. But what were the circumflances attending my departure? Did they bear any refemblance of a flight? Did I not publicly announce it the preceding evening in a numerous meeting of citizens? Did I not cause it to be published in a public paper? Did I affect the garb and difguise of concealment? In London, did I remain in obscurity? Did I not appear in a diftinguished Society, the Society of the Friends of the People? And did not that Society publish afterwards a refolution, announcing in its preamble my prefence among them?

But I went immediately afterwards to France. Mr. Skirving, who was examined with regard to a letter he received from me, before my departure from London, has faid, in his evidence (and his words I have accurately in my notes,) that I proposed to go to Paris, as it was the advice OF SOME FRIENDS, and might be of some service in mitigating the sate of the late King.

The words of Mr. Skirving, "SOME FRIENDS," have been curiously represented .-- It is stated that these "fome

friends," must have been the members of that truly respectable Society; and it is boldly argued, that I went to France as a Missionary from that body. Nothing can be more ridiculous.—Nothing can be more injurious.—Mr. Skirving never said so!—No person can, or dare, say that I ever went as a missionary, delegated from individuals, or by Societies, to any foreign power. Building then upon this unsubstantial basis of words, never uttered in evidence by Mr. Skirving, nor which ever could possibly be uttered, I am accused of a species of high treason, in corresponding with a foreign power without any legal authority from home. The charge of corresponding with foreign power is equally ridiculous with the misrepresentation upon which it is founded; but let it be considered as serious, I dare the proof.

I challenge the Profecutor to adduce the fmallest vestige of evidence.

Yes; I will admit, I wrote to Mr. Skirving my intention of going to France; nor will I deny the motive. I faw, in the execution of the late King, a specious pretext to plunge the country in war, and to extend the effusion of buman blood to every corner of the world! I may have erred. I may have acted from enthusiasm; but it was enthusiasm in the cause of man. If, at the period when it was free to every person to publish their sentiments upon that awful question, I wished likewise to publish mine, ean that be imputed to me as a crime? Can the intention of pleading the cause of mercy, of individual and of general humanity, be construed into guilt? If it can, I am then guilty. Has not the Profecutor lamented that difaftrous event? And will he accuse a man who wished to prevent it? Who, with many friends to humanity, of every nation, and of every party, in private, in public, in conversation, and from the Prefs, exerted their abilities to ward off an event which they forefaw was to introduce years of blood and of forrow! But allow, that at first glance, my departure from Scotland; my journey to Paris, afforded a prefumption of guilt; that prefumption is obviated by my RETURN.

The Profecutor has boasted of his humanity, in granting me the delay of a few weeks, by postponing my trial, in order that I might return from Paris.—But was be ignorant that hostilities at that time were commencing—that the communication was closed; that it was tedious and difficult to procure passports. Of that difficulty no perfon here can possibly have any doubt.

Do not all my private letters, which have this day been read, prove my uneafiness upon account of the delay, and my anxiety to return? But, at the period when I procured my paffport, the flames of war were blazing over most of Europe--- I knew only two ways by which I could poffibly return home. The first, by the way of Hamburgh: the fecond by the longer, but the more certain circuit of America .-- I adopted the latter, as more fafe, and less liable to interruption .-- I left Paris .-- I went down to the port of Havre-de-Grace. I found a veffel which was to fail for New York .-- The receipt found in my pocket book, when I was stopped upon my landing in Scotland, from the master of that vessel for the payment of my pasfage, proves that I had actually taken my passage in that ship. That vessel, in taking in her freight, and by an detained for near three months .--- In embargo, was this interval, another American ship, the Hope, of Baltimore, arrived. The Captain was to touch in at Belfast, in Ireland, for part of his cargo, on his return to America .--- This I confidered to be a fortunate accident .--- I immediately embraced this opportunity of returning by the way of Ireland to my country, not to implore favour, not to ask protection, but to DEMAND justice. To pass from France, to any of the dominions of Britain, I had no passport, --- my paffport was to Americia. I braved every danger. After a short passage I was landed in Ireland. There I remained no longer than nine days. I concealed not my name, I appeared publicly, and in the places of most publie refort. To all I announced my fituation and intention! The Profecutor has either defignedly, or ignorantly, laid hold of the circumstance of the indorfation of the municipal officers of Havre-de-Grace, upon my passport. Department of Paris granted me a paffport in the end of the month of April, and I arrived at Havre on the 3d or 4th of May. The first step which a stranger is obliged to take, upon arriving at the place of his destination in France, is to proceed to the Municipality, to shew to them the passport from whence he came, to have it revised and attested by them, in order to enjoy lecurity within their jurisdiction. The indorfation, as I have stated, of my Parisian passport, by the municipal officers at Havre, is of the 3d or 4th of May last. From this circumstance the Lord Advocate infers, that I must immediately have found a vessel to carry me home. He makes no allowance for the state of the two nations; he makes no allowance for the difficulties which neutral vessels have; in passing betwixt both; and he knows nothing of the embargoes which these vesfels must constantly experience, in the different belligerent ports. Well, then, fometime in the month of May I must have landed in Ireland! Public report has said, that infurrections have been in that country. The Profecutor has cried out, That I was the dæmon of fedition! And he infinuates, that there is a probability that I was the cause of these insurrections. I smile at the accusation; which he himself in his own mind must deride. It could have been eafy for me, by the testimonies of my friends in Ireland, whom I love, and whom I honour, to prove how I passed my time. But for me to dwell upon this is unnecessary. You, of the Jury, must have experienced the same emotions, and at present indulge the fame feelings as I do.

From Ireland, the Profecutor fays, I attempted to land in Scotland in a clandestine manner; and so fays (his composition) the Indistment.

In the lift of witnesses adduced against me, I saw the

names of Carmichael, the perfon who first recognized me at my landing at Portpatrick, and of Mr. Rofs, the magifirate at Strangaer, before whom I first appeared. Lord Advocate charges me with coming to Scotland in a clandestine manner. He serves upon me, in the list of witnesses, the only witnesses who could prove the fact; Carmichael, the custom-house officer, and Mr. Ross the magistrate, to whom I furrendered. I expected Carmichael and that gentleman would have been inclosed with the other witnesses of the Crown. I would have adduced them as witnesses to prove that so far from concealing myfelf, I announced myfelf publicly, and without difguise; so far from attempting evasion, my only anxiety was to put myfelf in the hands of the Law; and under the protection of its magistrates. The conduct of the public Profecutor, is in every respect uniform. is guilty of another piece of difingenuity, by ferving upon me in the list of the witnesses of the Crown, the names of Carmichael and Rofs. I could not entertain the least poffible doubt, but that they were to be adduced. This was an art to prevent me citing them at my own instance. It has fucceeded. I am deprived of their testimony. But why did not the Profecutor, at least, produce the declaration which I made before the Magistrate at Strangaer? That declaration, freely and voluntarily emitted, would have proved that I came into the country in no unbecoming manner.

Much of the invective of the Profecutor was founded upon my coming into this country in concealment. This circumftance, the Indictment charges as an aggravation of the crime. Judge then, Gentlemen, of the rectifude of the Profecutor's conduct, when he declaims upon a fact which he shrinks from proving, and which by his art in serving the list of witnesses upon me, he has prevented me from confuting.

10

F

P

fe

21

ir

a

fo

I trust, now, you will be convinced that no consciousness of guilt led me from Scotland, no improper motive carried me from England to France; and that no deep, and secret intention, induced me to return in disguise to my country.

The object of that return was to demand justice, to wipe away the imputation of that crime of which I now fland charged. And what is that crime? Sedition .- Is there a term fo vague and fo undefined, fo familiar to power, fo familiar to corruption. All who ever dared to oppose AR-BITRARY POWER, and who in the hour of danger came forward to fave their country, have been branded by the epithet of feditious. The term is therefore no longer a term of opprobrium. In one age, it has been applied to men rejected by fociety, whose names were honoured by after times, and upon whose virtues, and upon whose sufferings, the succeeding age reared the majestic pillar of the constitution. I am then accused of fedition, and I ask you of the Jury, to point out the corner of this land where fedition has existed. And tell me truly where the smallest vestige of this crime has appeared. Upon you the eyes of the people are now placed. Upon your consciences the oath of God is binding. Point out then to us, where the shadow of sedition has been Have the facred rights of property been any where invaded? Has the blood of the citizens flowed by the hands of the Friends of the People? O! No. - But the Profecutor has talked of the danger the People of this country were in last winter, of deep-laid plots, and of tremendous conspiracies! and I am the man, whom he charges as the author of the whole, whom he represents fimilar in malignity to the dæmon of mischief! and whom he honours with the title of the pest of Scotland! Well then, let it be supposed that an attempt was formed to overthrow the Constitution, to kindle the torch of civil war, to lead rapine and murder along the land; Where has the proof of this defign been found? Has it been discovered in the meetings of the Friends of the People, who, conscious of the purity of their intentions, affected no concealment, affembled with doors open to all; and who hatched their hellish defigns, (if such they were) in no midnight cavern. Could in the crouds, that were admitted to attend the deliberations of these societies, be found no ruffian, who could at least give a bold and manly

accusation, the walls of a private house must be invaded; domestic secrets must be explored, and the testimony of a miferable scullion girl, and a hair-dresser, must be brought forward, with regard to words, spoken where suspicion the most
vigilant must have been asseep, under the guardianship of a
paternal roof. And are these the witnesses, who are to
prove against me this mighty crime, which, supposing them,
to have been honoured by my considence, would have required the co-operation of thousands of bearded men in arms.

Gentlemen of the Jury, Let us this night throw away vain pretext: Let us act fairly and candidly. I fmile at the charge of fedition. You yourfelves are confcious that no fedition has existed in this country, and in your own minds you deride the accusation. I know for what I am brought to this bar, it is for having strenuously and actively engaged in the cause of Parliamentary Reform; for having exerted every effort, by conflitutional measures, to procure an equal representation of the people, in the House of the People. Let not the Prosecutor sculke in darkness: Let him come manfully forward, and avow the cause which has impelled him to bring me here. I will give you little trouble: I will prevent the lassitude of the Judges: I will fave you, the Jury, from the wretched mockery of a trial, the fad necessity of condemning a man, when the cause of his condemnation must be concealed, and cannot be explained. Yes, I plead guilty. I openly, actively, and fincerely embarked in the cause of a Parliamentary Reform, in the vindication and in the restoration of the rights of the people. Nor will I blush to unfold to you my motives; they are supported by their own intrinsic strength, but they are likewise held up by the great and the venerable names of the living and of the dead. I contended for an equal representation of the people, in what I shall ever call the House of the People, because I confidered it a measure effentially necessary to the salvation of the State, and to the stability of your boaffed conflitution. Wherein then confifts the excellency)-

i-

r-

ft

2

0

7,

-

s.

y

at

it

n

n

1-

0

e

h

e

1,

f

r

e

1

7.

r

1

of that time-tried fabric, cemented by the blood of your fathers, flowing from the field and from the scaffold. will tell you: It confifts in the due balance of its three impelling powers, KING, LORDS, and COMMONS; if one of these powers loses its vigour, the constitution in proportion loses its vigour; if one of these powers becomes only a shadow of what it ought to be, if it becomes merged and absorbed into any of the other two, your conflitution then also becomes a shadow, and it is annihilated. And do you not know, and does all the world not know, that if any where the proud structure of the constitution has fuffered the ravages of time or of corruption, it is in its popular branch. Is it not a fact indisputable, that the reprefentation of the people is not fuch as it once was, and is not fuch, as I trust in God, one day it shall be. The man then who founds the alarm, when he discovers the approach of danger, who fummons all who may be concerned in its reparation, is furely no enemy to the country, no fee to the conftitution, because he labours in its preservation and

Such were the motives of my conduct. If I am guilty, I have in my guilt many affociates, men who now enjoy the repose of eternity, whom your fathers admired while living, and to whom you, their children, have erected statues. I have no time to run over all the venerable catalogue. But, is there a man ignorant of the illustrious Locke, and was not this sage in philosophy, this advanced

^{*} And, as it is effential to the very being of Parliament, that elections should be absolutely free, therefore all undue influences upon the electors are illegal, and strongly prohibited. For Mr. Locke (on Gov. p. 2. § 222.) ranks it among those breaches of trust in the executive magistrate, which, according to his notions, amount to a dissolution of the government.—" If he employs the force, treasure, and offices of the society, to corrupt the representatives, or openly to pre-engage the electors, and prescribe what manner of persons shall be chosen: For thus to regulate candidates and electors, and new-model the ways of election, what is it, says he, but to cut up the government by the roots, and poison the very sountain of public security?"

Blackstone, B. I. ch. 2. p. 179.—Edition, Lond. 1787.

.44

66

44

46

66

66

66

44

46

44

i

U

h

la

P

1

V

ſ

champion in the cause of liberty, and of man; this friend to the British constitution, who wrote his Treatise on Government in its desence; in desence of the last glorious revolution, by the desire of the Prince; who was the leader of the men who planned it;—was not he an advocate for a reform in Parliament, for a more equal representation of the Commons in the House of Commons? Will you venture to tear the records of bis same, to stigmatize bis memory, and to brand bim with the epithet of seditious?

Let us rapidly proceed down to more modern times. Let us pass over in filence many illustrious names, whose memory with that of the Constitution will perish together. Let us come to your own days. Are ye ignorant of Blackstone;—the man who first collected the laws of his country, from the deformed chaos into which they had been thrown, who arranged them with elegancy, and who adorned them with every flower which the classic field could produce? Are not the volumes of this reverend judge in the hands of all? Should they not be familiar, at least to those who are called to decide concerning the conftitution? And has not Blackstone, not with the levity of ill-pondered words, not in the private hour of relaxation, not in the heat of popular debate, but in the calmness and solitude of study, maintained the fame propositions which I maintain, been guilty of the same sedition of which I am guilty, when he pronounced that the Constitution was imperfect, in its popular branch, and if any where ALTERATION was necessary, it was there to be defired. I entreat you to liften. read you, what this best expounder of the constitution has faid. Let the words be engraved on the tablet of your hearts.

[&]quot;And this constitution of suffrages is framed upon a "wifer principle, with us, than either of the methods of

[&]quot;voting, by centuries or by tribes, among the Romans.

[&]quot;In the method by centuries, inftituted by Servius Tul-

[&]quot; lius, it was principally PROPERTY, and not numbers, that

[&]quot; turned the feale: in the method by tribes, gradually in-

" troduced by the tribunes of the people, NUMBERS only "were regarded, and property was entirely overlooked. "Hence the laws paffed by the former method had usually " too great a tendency to aggrandize the patricians or rich "nobles; and those by the latter had too much of a level-"ling principle. Our conflitution steers between the two " extremes. Only fuch are entirely excluded, as can have " no will of their own: there is hardly a free agent to be " found, who is not entitled to a vote in fome place or o-"ther in the kingdom. Nor is comparative, wealth, or pro-" perty, entirely difregarded in elections, for though the " richest man has only one vote at one place, yet, if his pro-" perty be at all diffused, he has probably a right to vote at " more places than one, and therefore has many reprefen-" tatives. This is the SPIRIT OF OUR CONSTITU-"TION: not that I affert it is in fact quite so perfect as I " have here endeavoured to describe it; for, if any ALTER-" ATION might be wished or suggested in the present frame " of parliament, it should be in favour of a MORE COM-"PLETE REPRESENTATION OF THE PEOPLE."

If Blackstone then wished for a more equal representation of the people, if he dared to publish and to enforce his wish; and if I have merely done the same, where is the difference in our guilt? But there is a difference, and that difference is great. A learned professor of the laws of England, in the University of Cambridge, a grave writer, in the solitude of his retirement, a solemn judge, upon the tribunal of England, proclaiming that a reform in the representation of the people was just, and essential to the true spirit of the constitution; how superlatively criminal must his conduct be when compared with mine?

But vengeance ceases at the verge of the grave. There factions and parties rage in vain. If I have been guilty of an atrocious crime, I shall not demand the protection of the dead, I shall not wander among the tombs, and cry for the support and the assistance of those who cannot hear me, but I shall loudly demand the protection of the living, of men

Bi

th

21

29

fo

.01

th

Ca

D

p

t

high in rank, exalted in power, and who enjoy the confidence of their king. Can it ever be forgotten, that in the year 1782, Mr. Pitt was stained with the same guilt? Did not he preach up the necessity of a reform in the representation of the people? Did not he advise the people to form focieties; and did not he countenance these societies, by his presence? I appeal to the resolutions which he subscribed, in the Thatched House Tavern. I attest the motions which he made for Reform, in the House of Commons. Beware how you condemn me. Beware how you brand me with the opprobrium of being feditious. At the same time you condemn the confidential Minister of the King. Nay more Sir, in bringing this charge against me you accuse your Sovereign; for can it be supposed that he would permit a man to enjoy his confidence, who in the year 1782 by being a reformer, as I am in the year 1793, wished to precipitate this country into anarchy, defolation, and, into all the horrors which you have described.

But if the attempt to procure a Reform in Parliament be criminal, your accusation must extend far and wide. It must implicate the Ministers of the Crown and the lowest fubjects. Have you forgotten that in the year 1782, the Duke of Richmond, the present Commander of the forces, was a flaming advocate for the universal right of suffrage? Do you not know, that he prefided in focieties, and like Mr. Pitt, advised an universal formation of such focieties all over the kingdom? Have you never read his famous letter to Colonel Shariwin, in which his principles, his testimony, to a full and complete representation of the people, are indelibly recorded? Is guilt the passing unsubstantial fashion of the day? Does it vary according to times and to feafons, and to circumstances? Shall what was patriotism in 1782, be criminal in 1793? You have honour ed me this night, by the title of the pest of Scotland. And if the same offences merit the same appellations, you must likewife liberally bestow this epithet upon the first Lord of the treasury, and upon the Commander of the forces,

e

d

n

S

1,

h

e

h

u

e

r

t

1

e

ť

L

e

3

3

3

But what term of super-eminent distinction will not you, the Public Profecutor; you the Lord Advocate for Scotland, appropriate to yourself? Were not you, not many months ago, likewise a reformer? Did not you contend and act for a more equal representation of the people in the House of Commons? Were not you one of those men, who, for that purpole, affembled lately in this city, in what they called a Convention, and assumed to themselves the title of Delegates from the Counties? Were not you, yourfelf, employed in framing a bill for the extention of the elective franchise? Every charge in your indictment against me recoils upon yourfelf; in accusing me, you charge yourfelf with fedition. If it was lawful for you and your friends to meet in focieties, and in conventions, for the purpose of a Reform in Parliament, unless the standard of guilt shrinks and extends as caprice or power may order, it furely must have been permitted to me and my friends also to meet, and to act on the same principle.

But I advance in this tract no farther, although my affertions and my arguments are just, yet the subject of them is so connected with ridicule, as to render them not so seeming in this solemn trial.

Gentlemen of the Jury, If the real cause of my standing as a Pannel at your bar, is for having actively engaged in the cause of a Parliamentary Reform, I plead guilty. My conscience, however, will whisper consolation to me under my condemnation. I engaged in that cause, for I thought the measure was to save the country; that a more equal representation would dry up the sources of corruption, would diminish our taxes, and stop the essusion of our blood. That such were my motives, appears from every part of the evidence against me. The public Prosecutor shrunk from the examination, even of the testimony of his own witnesses. He averted his eyes from the proof, in order to indulge himself in vain declamation and unbecoming invective. I will not, however, imitate his conduct. I will examine minutely every branch of the proof which he has ad-

duced against me; and you will be convinced that after every word and action of mine has been scrutinized; after even the levity of the unguarded hour has been explored, and while instructed spies have watched every book, had marked every word, not the vestige of sedition can be discovered.

The first charge against me is, That at meetings of the people which I myself had convocated, I made seditious harangues; vilified the King and constitution; represented the monarchical part of our government as cumbersome and expensive; instituted a comparison betwixt our system and that of France, and did every thing to instame the minds of the people to insurrection and rebellion. It is particularly alledged that I was guilty of these crimes at two meetings, the one at Campsie, and the other at Kirkintilloch.

You will remember, that I offered to prove that this witness had expressed himself in the most rancorous terms against me; that he would do all in his power to get me hanged. By respectable witnesses, I could easily have supported this averment; but I was not allowed by the Court, as I could not specify the particular cause which had excited the malice of a man I did not know, and whom I do not remember to have ever seen. But what does even Johnstone say? I will read you from my notes the whole of his evidence. Correct me if I have erred in taking down any thing differently from what has been stated. I believe that my notes are accurate, but mistakes are not impossible.

[Here Mr. Muir read over the whole of the evidence.]

What does this witness then say? He says, that I stated, in the meeting at Campsie, the disadvantages in the representation, from boroughs being rotten, and from others having no vote, the population of England and Scotland, the small number of electors in both; and that the people were not fully represented. And is this sedition? Is not the sast notoriously true? Has it not been resounded innu-

merable times within the walls of the House of Commons itself? Has not the table of that House been covered with petitions, expressing it in language infinitely stronger than mine? If to state truth be sedition, why did our legislature slumber? Why slumbered the law; and why was not public vengeance armed with the sword of justice, when this crime dared to pollute the sanctuary of the legislature, by its appearance within it?

Johnstone depones, that I said, If a man gave 20,000l. for a feat in parliament, he behoved to derive fome interest from Is not the fact true? Do not we daily know of fums of money being given for feats in that house, and can there be a conclusion in Euclid, more certain than the inference which I draw from the fact? It may be faid, that the House may be pure and uncorrupted, even although fuch fum's of money are given for admission into it; that men may do so in order to ferve their country, or to display their own abilities. Grant all this; but have we not feen much greater fums thrown away at contested elections, by men who never opened their mouths within the walls of St. Stephen's Chapel; whose patriotism never shone forth; and whose abilities were never discovered? The witness depones, that I faid the Duke of Richmond had been bribed into filence by 20 or 30,000l. And supposing I had said so, that this was the falutary opiate, which calmed and cooled the fever of his brain, and probably faved him the mortification of standing his trial, also, for the crime of fedition; what has this to do with the present matter? It is not the Duke of Richmond, but it is the King himself that I am accused of vilifying. This affertion of Johnstone's is indeed too ludicrous for ferious argument.

Gentlemen, before I proceed farther, let me make one remark. I am to be tried by the law of Scotland; and, by that law, two witnesses are necessary to prove a crime.——
This is a rule full of humanity; but, at the same time, by cutting off proof, it may, and has upon some occasions defeated the ends of public justice. If, however, the concurrence

le

ot

of two witnesses were to be rigorously insisted upon, it must be in a case similar to mine, where their testimony is to go to words, volantia verba, neither engraved in marble, nor recorded in brass. You know the infinite variety of circumstances depending upon the speaker, the occasion, the manner, the person to whom they were uttered, and his situation; by which, the meaning of the same words, can be diversified and modified, tortured into guilt, and explained into innocence. By the law of England, which is likewise the same with ours in the case of treason, the bare uttering of words, without any evert act, cannot be admitted as evidence to prove the crime.

Johnstone depones, that I compared our constitution with the French, and faid that their arms would be fuccefsful; that their representation was more equal; two thirds of their debt paid, and that their manufacturers, in the competition with ours, would have the advantage. Whoever heard before, that it was unlawful to compare the British constitution with that of another country? If the British constitution is the boast of ages, the pride and the glory of the world, Can it fuffer by any comparison? On the contrary, will not its fplendour brighten by the foil? does Johnstone say, that I gave the superiority to the French conflitution over the British? No .--- Does he affert, that I exhorted the meeting to reject the British constitution, to adopt the French, and to adopt the French as the better model? No; not a word. What then fays he? I merely compared the two together. Is this fedition?---Alas! we are all feditious. Is there a man here, or in this country, who has not, in his own mind, and who has not in words, made a fimilar comparison?

Johnstone next observes, That I mentioned, that two thirds of the French national debt was already paid; that their taxes were less, and that I ascribed this to the people being more equally represented in their legislature. I may have said so, or I may not have said so. My remembrance does not carry me. Whether the sact was true, at the time

that I spoke in the meeting, of two thirds of their national debt being paid, and of their taxes being less, I know not. Certain it is, before and fince, I have often heard it to be fo, in word and in writing; but I will fay this to you, and I will fay it to all Scotland, that an equal reprefentation of the people is the most direct and falutary method to diminish and pay off the national debt; to alleviate the weight of taxes, and to remove the clogs of industry. Nothing can be truer than the inference which Johnstone fays I drew, that a people equally industrious, must have the advantage over another, who pay greater taxes, and who groan under the burden of a greater debt. If I were connected with this last nation, I certainly would advise them to take every conflitutional step to procure a diminution of their debt and of their taxes. And what does Mr. Johnstone fay? That I advised the society to petition Parliament, and that the fole intention of these societies was to procure a more equal representation and a shorter duration of Do you fay then, that the object of these societies is unconstitutional? Or do you say, that these societies, in the means which I advised them to make use of in order to accomplish that object, were to act unconstitutionally? You can do neither. Under the free government of Britain, every man has a right to look after those whom he is supposed to delegate to the House of Commons, to be the arbiters of his liberty, his fortune, his life. He has a right to come and flate to them his wrongs and his apprehenfions; and the mode which the constitution has pointed out is by petition.

Johnstone depones, that I advised the people to consult together, to communicate and diffuse their knowledge: The advice was a good advice; and such an advice as I would give were I still in the same situation. What! Is the time now come when the mind must be locked up; when setters must be imposed upon the understanding, and when the people, the great mass of human being, must be prohibited to receive information, and to communicate among them.

gre

int

du

til

CO

ti

fc

ti

C

P

p

blvcs the information which they may have received. Miferable people-Country to be deplored !--- Ignorance is the fource from which despotism flows. The remembrance of former liberties will make you only more wretched. Extinguish then (if possible,) the light of heaven, and let us grope, and let us fearch for confolation, if it can be found, under the darkness which shall soon cover us. But the prospect before us is not so dismal .-- We live, and we act under the British constitution. A constitution which, in its genuine principles, has for ages confecrated freedom. We live, and we remember the glorious revolution of 1688, which banished despotism, and placed the family of Hanover upon the throne. We remember the Bill of Rights; nor shall we forget one of its most facred clauses, which declared, established, and fanctioned the unalienable claim of the citizen to petition Parliament. Now, as members of the British constitution, acting under the Bill of Rights, how should our conduct be shaped? Do we complain of general grievances, fuch as, an unequal representation of the people? It would be arrogance in a part to speak the language of the whole. Let those, then, who feel most fensibly the pressure of this general grievance, not presume to complain for the nation, but confult the nation. And how are they then to confult the nation, but by bringing the people together in focieties, to deliberate and to refolve? Would you wish the people to act unadvisedly. Would you propose they should carry petitions to the doors of the House of Commons, without knowing the real fignification of the import of these petitions? Let us apply to our political conduct a rule fure and unerring in private life .--- Think; deliberate before you act. An unequal representation is a general grievance.---Before we feek to obtain its redrefs, let us inquire, in the first place, if it is generally felt; and in the fecond, if redrefs is generally wished for. In applying for a general remedy, let us first be convinced of the general disease. Without this, a few factious may presume to speak for the nation; may impose upon the weak, and may plunge

the many into inextricable confusion and misery. The great proposition, then, which I maintained is, that the people should consult together; that they should form themselves into societies; for it is only by so doing that they can conduct themselves with wisdom, and hope for success.

The Bill of Rights declared the unalienable, imprescriptible right of the people to petition Parliament, and if you condemn me for advising the people to petition with reflection, with united and general deliberation, for redress of general grievances, ye tear the record of our liberties, and scatter the fragments where they never can be collected.

In advising then, even according to Johnstone's evidence, the people to inform themselves, reciprocally to communicate their information, and then wisely and deliberately to petition Parliament, you do not condemn me, but you trample upon their liberties, and you proscribe the constitution. If I declared, at the meeting of Campsie, that the people should adopt every mean to instruct themselves with regard to their political rights, I declare and I inculcate the same now before you, and before this great audience.

Hear farther what Mr. Johnstone fays. I have his words accurately in my notes. I advised the society to get ALL the political pamphlets from a neighbouring bookfeller .---The advice was a right advice, I did not lift myfelf under the banners of faction. I combated neither for ministry nor for opposition, for the ins nor for the outs; I fought in the cause of truth, and how is that cause to be successful, but by general, complete, and impartial information of the different arguments, advanced upon either fide of the great question of Parliamentary Reform? Gentlemen, remember who the witness is, who brings forward this important truth in my favour. It is Alexander Johnstone, the first witness adduced by the Prosecutor, against whom I objected on account of the expressions which he had used indicating his intention to ruin me, which by respectable witnesses I offered, instantly, to prove, but which proof was not allowed by the Court. When this man fpeaks what is

of 1

cul

thi

Ad

Th

no

tio

ety

W

lif

th

fe

of

be

up

yo

re

th

ti

fe

ac

ai

ta

y

y

frongly in my favour, you cannot suspect him; nay more. what he has faid, affords a clue to my conduct. Fond of reading myfelf, purchasing, for information, almost every new publication, was it not natural and just for me to recommend a fimilar line of conduct to men, who, from their union, were enabled to defray the expence? If I had been the tool of party and of factions; if truth had not been my object, I would have faid to this fociety, ' purchase not the books which are written against Parliamentary Reform; they are merely the productions of pensioned hirelings; but read every treatife written in its defence .--- Thefe are the productions of enlightened, and of philanthropic men, and they are stamped by the fignature of genius.' You will remember what the witness has faid upon the interrogatory of the Solicitor General, relative to what I mentioned concerning the King, Lords, and Commons; What was the reply of the witness? It was this, that I faid, the constitution ought to confift of King, Lords, and Commons. Is this vihifying the Monarchy? Is this representing that part of the government as expensive and cumbersome, as the indictment fets forth? Is this inflaming the minds of the people, and exciting them to infurrection and rebellion?

This witness has likewise deponed to a remarkable sact, I will state you his words accurately. If I am in error, you will correct me from your notes. "In that meeting there "was mention made of Paine's Rights of Man, but not by Mr. Muir. One man in the society, not in a public manmer, but to his neighbour privately; said, that he had read "Paine's Works." What was that to me? And supposing I had overheard this man use these words; could I be blamed for merely possessing the faculty of hearing? Does Johnstone say, that I participated in this private conversation? He says no such thing. Does he swear that I recommended Paine's Works, or introduced the mention of them in the meeting? No. How then is the other charge in the indictment supported by the testimony of this witness?

of my adviling the people to read feditious books, and ciraculating fuch among them.

Johnstone has told you, that most of those who composed this meeting were weavers, mostly young men from eighteen to twenty years of age. What is the inference which the Lord Advocate has deduced from this? I blush to mention it .---That people, in that fituation, and at that time of life, have no right to interfere in public affairs! People in that fituation !-- What situation? Who compose the great mass of society? Who support the State? Who contribute to its wealth? Who must fight in its defence? People at that period of life !--- What period? When the heart is uncorrupted; when the foil is best prepared to receive good feed, and when the feelings of the mind can be most easily led over to the fide of virtue and humanity. Because the majority of the members of that fociety might be weavers, they must be held up in derision! Because the majority of them might be young men, they must be censured for prefuming to interest themselves in the happiness of that country in which they were to spend their future days, and whose constitution was to operate upon their future lives! But you have feen feveral of the members of that fociety, and those too adduced by the Profecutor, (Mr. Johnstone in the number) and did you think them fo young, fo frivolous, and fo illtaught as he represented? This is a matter which regards you, as you were the witnesses, and you must judge for yourselves. Finally, hear the conclusion of the deposition of this witness, which states, "That I recommended peace " and regularity to the meeting, and observed that any tu-"mult or disorder would ruin their common cause;" and that I told them, "there was no other mode of procuring " redress, but by applying to Parliament;" and that I recommended to them " to beware of admitting any immoral " characters as members."

The next witness adduced for the Prosecutor, is Robert Waddell, vice president of the society at Kirkintilloch,

who swears to the particulars which occurred at the same meeting, in which the preceding witness was present.

[Mr. Muir then read over his notes of this witness's depo-

In what respect does this witness criminate me? Does he not agree precifely with Johnstone, concerning my general conduct. I am accused of vilifying the King and constitution; and what does this witness fay? That I made a speech, in which I advised regularity in their proceedings; and that they ought to proceed in a constitutional manner, as the law now is, by King, Lords, and Commons. The indictment alledges, that I spoke and reprobated the monarchical branch of the constitution. But the witness fwears, that I faid nothing about the expence of the King. nor the comparative expence of the French constitution, nor the fuccess of their arms. Gentlemen, I speak with candour; it is not in my remembrance, that I spoke concerning the comparative excellency of the French or British constitutions. You hear one witness declaring, that I merely compared them together; you hear this witness declare, that I made no mention of either constitution; both of them may have fpoken truth according to the impression which was on their minds, but they shew you the danger of trusting to the memory of witnesses, when it relates to words spoken in the warmth of a public discussion, and attempted to be recollected after the lapse of many months. But give whatfoever degree of strengh you chuse to Johnstone's evidence, draw from the comparison, which I instituted between the French and British constitutions, an inference as highly criminal as you possibly can; the testimony of that man is completely overthrown by this witness. Mr. Waddell has stated to you what passed after the meeting was over, in private company, in the unguarded hour, when the mind dreads no danger, and when vigilance is afleep. Can any thing prove more strongly than the deposition of this man, the innocency of my conduct? The conversation related to politics, and to new publications; and furely

materials of that kind are infinitely more noble in their nature, than those which deform convivial fociety, and difgrace the man. He remembers me speaking of Mr. Flower's book upon the French Constitution; a book which the Lord Advocate, although not specified in the libel, wished to infinuate as being seditious, and confequently an aggravation of my crime. Of the truly respectable author of this book, I know nothing; but if, from writing, a true idea may be formed of the heart which guides the pen, there is no man that I would more fondly call my friend. It is true, I recommended Mr. Flower there, because I recommended his principles every where .-- I will do fo still; I will do fo in your presence, in the presence of the Court, and to this great audience. You, who wish for a Reform in Parliament, read and weigh well the lessons, which this good man has given and inculcated. Let personal reformation precede public; let the torch of knowledge lighten the path of liberty; but, above all, let found morality, and genuine Christianity be the goals from which you commence your political career. A people ignorant --- never can enjoy freedom; a people immoral--- are unworthy of the blefling.

The witness next mentions, that the only book which I recommended to be purchased, was Dr. Henry's History of England. I am an enemy to the constitution,—and yet I recommended to the people the book best calculated to instruct them in its principles, and in its progress; a book, applauded in private and in public by the Earl of Mansfield, and upon whose application, the author received an honourable pension from the King; honourable to the granter, to the receiver, and to him who sirst noticed the modest merit of the writer.

The witness next proceeds to mention, that at the meeting, a person of the name of Boyd proposed, that Paine's Works should be purchased and recommended. What was my conduct upon the occasion? I said, it was foreign to their purpose; and foreign, surely, indeed it was. With-

f

out approving of Mr. Paine's principles, without condemning them, I shall afterwards more fully shew you, that the advice was such as became them and me, in our situation at the time.

The witness mentions, that he purchased for the Society three or sour copies of the Political Progress. Does he say, that it was at my desire, or on my recommendation? No. ——That he purchased for his own use and that of his neighbours, three or sour copies of the Paisley Declaration of Rights; that he got a copy of Paine's pamphlet, but knows not from whom; that he never saw the Dialogue betwixt the Governors and the Governed, one of the charges against me in the libel; and that a Mr. William Muir shewed him a number of the Patriot. In the name of common sense, what connection have I with this extraneous matter! How does it tend towards my crimination? The witness swears positively, that the only book which I recommended to be purchased by the Society, was Henry's History of Britain,

The witness declared, that a more equal representation of the people was the object of the Society. Being asked by the Solicitor General, what he meant by a more equal representation. He stopt for a moment to consider. O! what matter of triumph was this! Then burst the contemptuous fneer; and then with affected ridicule was pointed out, the absurdity of men so ignorant, embarking in the cause of reformation, when even their Vice-President, the witness, who from his station, if any had known, he should have been the man. But by all, excepting by Mr. Pitt and the Duke of Richmond, who contended for reformation, no specific plan has yet been proposed. In all that was said in the late debate in the House of Commons, no specific plan was brought forward. Is it then a matter of furprise that the witness flopt for a moment to confider his answer to the question? His answer was such as did honour to the coclness of his mind, and to the soundness of his understanding. Two opinions, replied he, divided the Society. One was, for confining the right of election to landed property; the other, for extending it to the body of the people; that he, for his own part, had not yet made up his mind upon either. Being asked my opinion, he swears, I gave none.

The witness depones to my express language, that I said to the meeting, that they would be the more successful, the more they were constitutional. Is this preaching up sedition? Is this inflaming the minds of the multitude to rebellion? With every witness adduced against me this day, this witness likewise corroborates my earnest advices to the people to be regular, to be moderate, and to be moral.

The next witness proposed to be adduced against me was, the Reverend James Lapslie. My objections to his admiffibility were fustained, before I advanced upon the threfhold of my proof, by the Lord Advocate's giving him up, Sorry am I indeed for the Profecutor's timely precaution; proof was thickening fast again this gentleman; proof of practices, proof of crimes, which-----but I go no farther; the day will come, when this gentleman and myself shall exchange situations at this bar. I trust that by your verdict I shall be acquitted, and the moment after, I solemnly pledge myfelf to this nation, to exhibit against him a criminal accusation of the most serious kind. more would not be right, as tending to excite a prejudice against him in the day of his own trial. It is sufficient for me, that, even in this stage of the business, after the proof I was leading, my most rancorous enemy, would have blushed to have brought forward this man's testimony.

The next witness was, Henry Freeland, president of the society at Kirkintilloch. The indictment charges me with having convocated the societies at Campsie and at Kirkintilloch. The witness depones, that there was an intention of having a society at Kirkintilloch, long before ever he saw me. Does this witness, the first president of that society, who, if any man could, must have been well informed of the circumstances leading to its formation, support the charge in the libel? Quite the reverse. I shall

1

postpone the most material part of his deposition to the last. In my speech, he mentions, that I spoke about shortening the duration of Parliaments and a more equal representation; that I said, that I thought taxes might be lessened by these means, and that the reform was not to take place as to the King and House of Lords, but only of the Commons. Call you this sedition! Does not every thing brought forward by these witnesses of the Crown, consute the salse, the injurious, and the scandalous charge in the libel, of vilisying the Constitution, and of exciting the people to rebellion against the King?

Mr. Freeland said, that I mentioned the success of the French arms, and that liberty would be established in France. Call you this likewise a crime? At the time when I spoke was it not true? Were not the armies of France in every part victorious; and could I, not possessed of the power of penetration into the future, be sure that they were not to accomplish their object? Are not opinions, after all that has happened during the last months, as much divided as they were then? Can you go into private or into public company, where this topic forms not the most material part of their conversation? If merely to speak upon this subject be sedition, you are all contaminated.

Mr. Freeland concurs with the other witnesses in remembering, that I recommended no other book to the meeting, than Henry's History. That I told them all riot would be ruin to the cause; exhorted them to be constitutional and regular, and told them, that they were to petition Parliament, and that there was no other way of getting a reform.

I now come to the most material part of Mr. Freeland's evidence, which relates to my lending him a copy of Paine's Works. Now let us take his evidence in the connection of time. He tells you, that, having heard that a proclamation was against that book, he was upon that account curious to see it, and that he first spoke of it to me; that upon Tuesday, eight days before the society met, when I happened to be at Kirkintilloch, in the house of Wallace, to which I

had fent for him, that I bid him fearch in my great coat pocket, and he would find the book; but that he had before this time, for the reason which he had mentioned, asked the loan of it from me. He further tells you, that when he first spoke of that book to me, I said, I thought it had a tendency to mislead the people; that he was surprised I did not recommend it, because every body else spoke well of it, and that I did not approve of it.

This is Mr. Freeland's deposition as to what passed between him and me, when he received Mr. Paine's Works. But his testimony goes farther, and corroborates that in which all the witnesses are unanimous, concerning what passed in the society when that book was mentioned; of its being suggested by one Boyd, to purchase it; but that I shook my head, and said it was foreign to the purpose.

Gentlemen, This is the most material evidence which the Prosecutor has been able to produce. A wide field is now before us, and that I may deviate as little as possible from precision, I shall arrange what I have to say, under distinct heads.

In the first place, the indictment charges me of felonioully and wickedly circulating and distributing this book, in order to inflame the minds of men against the Constitution. You are the judges of the law and of the fact. Your decision will not separate them from each other, but combine them both together. Is it necessary for me to inform you, that, without a criminal intention, there can be no crime; and has not the indictment itself fet forth, that I did not circulate these books merely, but that the circulation proceeded from such intention. Now, I ask you to lay your hands upon your breafts, and to fay, where, in the circumstances of this case, is the shadow of felonious defign. The Works of Mr. Paine had been published and fold every where. The papers of the day teemed with fuccessive advertisements, announcing where they were to be procured. What excited this curiofity in the public mind,

without uttering a fingle fyllable upon the intrinfic merit; or demerit of these works, is easy to tell. The situation of France roused the attention of Europe. To that country every eye was turned, and every man who could wield a pen, was employed in discussing the principles which the Revolution had brought forward. Mr. Burke entered the field of controversy. The name of that gentleman would give fale and diffusion to any production. Mr. Burke fought upon one fide of the question. He was encountered upon the opposite by Thomas Paine. Both of them champions of approved vigour, and of undoubted prowefs. --- Could public curiofity not be awakened to the contention of fuch men? It was fo most completely: and, the Works of Mr. Burke and of Thomas Paine, flew with a rapidity to every corner of the land, hitherto unexampled in the history of political science. Is there a single man among you, who has not read the Works either of Paine or of Burke? Is there a person upon the bench, upon the Jury, or in this audience, who has either not purchased or lent the Treatife upon the Rights of Man! Now, if one of you lent to a friend or relation, who might participate in the common curiofity, a fingle pamphlet of Mr. Paine's, you are as guilty as I am; but why should I use the term guilty? NONE of us are guilty in lending that book, because we harboured no evil defign.

If there had been a public law of the Kingdom condemning that book, the prefumption of ignorance, could not be admitted by its rigid rule. And the mere act of giving away a fingle copy, would have been confidered as a violation of its letter. But, at the period when I lent Mr. Freeland Paine's Works, was the fentence of reprobation, thus dered against them? No—I then was guilty of offending no existing Law. I was not certified of my danger. I was not put upon my guard. Was there a judgment of any court in England or in Scotland against this book at that time? No.—Then I had no cause for alarm; but some months before, a proclamation against seditious writings

had been iffued. A proclamation, gentlemen, is not law. It can declare and it can enforce what the law has already enacted, but it has no legislative authority. But was there any mention of Mr. Paine's Works in that proclamation? None .-- What were the consequences of this proclamation? You know them well .--- If there had been a demand before for political books, that demand increased in a tenfold proportion. Concerning the particular books to which the proclamation might be fuppofed to allude, curiofity was more highly excited, and conversation became more keenly interested. Now, mark the circumstances, in which Mr. Freeland applied to me for the loan of that book. Here, take notice of his express words, "that having heard a proclamation of the King was used against it, he was, upon that account, curious to fee it, and first spoke of it to Mr. Muir." Now I ask you, if any thing like felonious intention in Freeland can be difcovered, in applying for it to me; a proclamation had been iffued against feditious books, and a natural curiofity was excited in him to fee what thefe books were, which he had heard reported to be feditious; a curiofity which, at the time, was common to all. I ask, if you could suppose there was a felonious intention in my lending it? Did I introduce the conversation by speaking of it. Did I advise him to read it, to adopt its principles, and to contribute his exertions to carry them into practice? No.-He expressly tells you, that I did not approve of the book; that I faid it had a tendency to mislead the people, at which he was surprised, as it was otherwife approved by all. To what then reduces itself this mighty crime of fedition for which I stand here? To gratify the natural curiofity of a person who tells you, he lives in my neighbourhood, and is a distant relation; I lent a book, which was in universal circulation, unnoticed by Courts of Justice, uncondemned by law.

If you have come here this day with an intention to bring me in guilty, whether right or wrong, fay fo boldly, openly, and let me add, honeftly. Refort not to

wretched pretexts and expedients to justify a stretch of power. These pretexts are soon seen through by the penetrating eye of mankind, and to the guilt attending the determination, will be added the contempt which the pretext will justify.

Gentlemen, in the fecond place, I would direct your attention to what Mr. Paine's writings are, and to the particular manner in which they are presented in accusation, in my trial. I will allow that any writing which calls upon the people to rise in arms, to resist the law, and to subvert the constitution is something worse than seditious, that it is treasonable, but do the writings of Mr. Paine stand in that predicament? Can you point me out a single sentence where he provokes insurrection? Mr. Paine's writings are indisputably of a speculative nature. He investigates the first principles of society; he compares different forms of government together; and where he gives the preference, he assigns his reasons for so doing.

I have neither time, nor inclination to entertain you by differtations upon the Liberty of the Press. If that liberty is fickly, the Constitution is likewife diseased. If that liberty is extinguished, the constitution expires. You will ask, What is the precise notion which I affix to the term Liberty of the Press? I will tell you honeftly, and without difguife .-- By the Liberty of the Press, I mean not the power of affaffinating the reputation, of torturing the feelings of individuals. No crime in my estimation can be more heinous .-- By the Liberty of the Press, I mean not the power of degrading, and of contaminating the public mind by corruption of public morals .--- By the Liberty of the Prefs, I understand not the power of inflaming the minds of men against the constitution, of stimulating the people to infurrection, and of tearing down the barriers of public property, and of public fecurity. Where government is established, that government must be respected. And the truest republic, which ever yet existed, never could tolerate the internal foe, who, within its own precincts, founded the charge to civil war .--- BY THE FREEDOM OF THE PRESS, I understand the INALIENABLE RIGHT OF PUBLISHING TRUTH; of presenting to the world, whatever may tend to public good, and may not hurt the feelings of individuals, morals, nor established laws.

Constitutions of government are the workmanship of men; that constitution is the most perfect, which can be most easily amended. There are constitutions, which, step by step, without convulsion, and without blood, have advanced to superior degrees of perfection; which, by their own internal energy, have effected their own reformation, and avoided the calamities of a revolution. These progresfive constitutions, if I may use the expression, must always cherish and support the liberty of the press, as the chief inftrument of their preservation. Look back, I beseech you, to the ancient system in France. To you the observation may be fingular, but I am confident in my own mind it is just. If, while the vestiges of their ancient constitution still remained, while the remembrance of the States General was not yet forgotten, the freedom of the press had not been annihilated, their constitution would have become progreffive; its reformation would gradually have been operated, and by the exertions of good men and of patriotic writers, all the calamities which we now deplore in the revolution would have been averted. How grateful should we be to eternal providence, that our Constitution possesses in itself the power of amendment, that, without a revolution, it can rectify its abuses; and that, filently and without disorder, it can advance towards that chastened liberty, which constitutes human felicity. You have read the history of the British Constitution; and what is it, but the history of a continual progress? You will next ask what has been the impelling cause of this progress? I answer, the right of the universal diffusion of information, by means of the Liberty of the Preis. If you destroy that liberty, you accomplish one of two things .--- The people will be buried in ignorance; the iron throne of despotism will be erected, and the filent --- I cannot, will not contemplate the picture. If you def-

troy the Liberty of the Press, you may perchance do some. thing elfe, which is horrible to think upon. This high fpi rited and generous people will not foon forget their loft rights. You have removed what led to progressive perfection. Evil will proceed to evil. What originated folely from corrupted men, will be imputed to the constitution itfelf. By undermining its best prop, its most folid and masfy pillar, I repeat it, and never shall cease to repeat it, the Liberty of the Press, you expose this holy fabric to a blow, which shall shake it from its foundations. Let us then apply this argument to the case of Mr. Paine. This work is merely of a speculative nature, upon the principles of government. If Mr. Paine's Work is inconfiftent with the British Constitution, what is the consequence? If the book is written with ingenuity, it will acquire readers. No man in his found fenfes, the keenest advocate for a Parliamentary Reform, but will avert his eyes, bathed in tears, and in horror of foul, from a revolution. He will compare the principles of Mr. Paine with the Constitution. If Mr. Paine has pointed out any thing defective in the Constitution, he will contribute his humble efforts to have that defeet repaired. If, upon the other hand, he shall imagine, that Mr. Paine has taken an erroneous view of this edifice, has mifrepresented its properties, he will become more and more fenfible, from his inquiry, of the fecurity which he enjoys under its protecting roof. The fense of danger will be removed, and his mind, undiffurbed by gloomy apprehenfions, will enjoy tranquillity. O! how little do ye deem the British Constitution, who think that it is built upon the fand, which when the rain descendeth, and the floods cometh, and the winds blow, and beat upon it, that it shall fall. No .-- when the rains descend, when the floods come, when the winds blow, it shall not fall, for it is founded upon a rock. I then maintain, although not in accents fweet to the ear of corruption, grateful to courtly pride, nor acceptable to ill-got power, that those speculative writers, who investigate the principles of our Constitution, who

perform a meritorious service to this nation: these writers either impel us to rectify that which is wrong, or more strongly confirm us in our love and in our attachment to that which is right. Let Mr. Paine then be considered as the bitterest enemy to our Constitution, yet as long as he confines himself to speculation, we should be grateful. Our best interests are involved in the Constitution, and like those still of a higher class, superior to time, and which extend to eternity, are too apt to be forgotten, and to make little impression. If Mr. Paine then has called our attention to the Constitution, he has performed to us an essential service, he has led us to contemplate all its perfection, and roused us from our lethargy to rectify wherever, by time and by corruption, it may have suffered decay.

Shall the lending of a fingle copy of the works of this writer be held criminal? When was there ever fuch a violation of the rights of Britons? Mr. Paine has composed no model of a perfect commonwealth, as Mr. Hume has done; yet, the political works of Mr. Hume, you have all read, and you have all applauded. If you condemn a man for lending Mr. Paine's Works, you do what even was not attempted to be done, in the Reign of Henry the VIII, when the constitution lay expiring on the rack of despotism, making exertious which only could discover remaining life, but at the same time the privation of strength. Along with equality of political rights, has Mr. Paine preached equality of property? a chimera which may enter into the brains of those, who may dream of a golden age, but who know not human nature. Yet, under that arbitrary reign, did not Sir Thomas More, enjoying the confidence of the King, and placed at the head of the law, publish his Utopia, the plan of his republic, of which an equal divifion of property, an Agrarian law, an universal community, formed the basis. In this enlightened age, when, after so many fiery trials, our constitution, in its pure and genuine principles, stands unveiled to our view, will you condem an

man for lending a work, equally speculative, and if such a thing existed, as a well founded panic against levellers, infinitely more dangerous.

al

di

I should be the last man to propose to your imitation, the conduct of despots; but I call upon you to ponder well the words of a man, who rendered the terms republic and pure and undefined despotism the same; Cromwell. Under his protectorship, when Harrington published his Oceana; informers denounced the work. "My cause is too strong," (said Cromwell) "to be hurt by paper-shot;" and if you say that, by any publication, the British constitution can be injured, you, yourselves, are guilty of the crime of libelling its strength.

To conclude upon this head, I maintain, that to suppress works purely speculative, provoking not the people to difobedience to the laws, nor to rebellion, however much these works may differ from the constitution, is to destroy the Liberty of the Press, to trample upon the best and the furest bulwark, which defends the approaches to that respected building. If, to lend the Works of Thomas Paine to-day be fedition, to lend a translation of the republic of Plato to-morrow will be treason. Gentlemen, the works of Mr. Paine are lying before me. I could read to you many passages to prove, that they are merely of a speculative nature. You are exhausted; equally fo am I. And yet, we have fome length of field before we conclude to travel over. For these passages I refer you to the celebrated speech of Mr. Erskine; one of the best friends the constitution ever knew, although of that reprobated cast, the Friends of the People. Gentlemen, I trust that you will now be perfuaded, that neither the publishing nor the lending of a speculative political book is se-But I now call your attention to another circumstance, the manner in which criminality is attached to that book and to myself. Various detached passages are quoted from it in the indictment. They are called wicked, inflammatory and feditious. In the facred name of justice, will you condemn any book for detached passages, separated from the whole connection, cut off from reciprocal explanation, and from which neither its general tenor nor scope can be discovered, If you do this, where is the book in which you cannot discover sedition, by diffecting its separate sentences and paragraphs. Fot, my part, if you proceed on in this manner, I do not know a more dangerous collection than the books of holy inspiration. Separate verse from verse, and then combine them, according to your pleasure, and you may make the Bible one of the most feditious and treasonable books which even was written. But you are neither to condemn that book, nor me, for those detached paffages exhibited in the indictment. You must carry along with you the whole works of Mr. Paine, you must scrutinize line, by line, and you must pronounce upon the general context. If you find it provoking the people to refiftance, calling them forth to arms, to subvert the constitution; then, no doubt, it is feditious. But, if you find the author indulging himself in nothing but philosophical and political speculation, however much your principles and his may differ, you cannot condemn him for composing it, or me, after it was composed and published, for lending it to a relation.

If you condemn books for being feditious, upon account of passages culled from this page and from that page, and artfully combined together, you have it in your power to award a proscription against books and universal literature. As I have already mentioned, there is not a single book, in which, by dissecting it in this manner, sentence by sentence, and passage by passage, you may not discover immorality, blasphemy, and treason. Indeed, if the sad objects of reselection which present themselves to my mind, when I contemplate the state of my country, could permit me to indulge in a vein of ridicule, I would advise you at once to lay the axe to the root of the tree, and to bring an indistenent against the alphabet itself, as it is the source of the evil you dread, as its parts form the component elements of sentences and of paragraphs, which may contain the most

dangerous sedition, and the most horrible treason. But this is not an hour to indulge the sport of humour.

I will admit, that the passages from Mr. Paine and the books exhibited in the indictment may be highly criminal, but will any person venture to say, that I lent these books, for containing fuch paffages, that I particularly pointed them out, and gave them my warmest approbation? If the Profecutor has a right to prefume, that it was upon account of these passages I lent these writings, I too have a right to draw a contrary prefumption in my favour. If there are fentiments in the Works of Mr. Paine, (and many fuch there are,) fraught with univerfal benevolence, inculcating univerfal amity and brotherhood, and of a tendency to difpel those passions and those prejudices, which animate and impel nation against nation into the field of blood and of carnage, I am entitled to plead upon these passages: I have a right to fay, that it was the antidote and not the poifon I recommended: And you must know, that the law of this country obliges you, where opposing presumptions are of equal strength, to let the balance preponderate on the side of mercy.

Gentlemen, I shall conclude upon the subject of Mr. Paine's Works by observing, That all the witnesses have uniformly fworn, that I refused to recommend them; that when the matter was proposed, I said, the principles contained in them might mislead the people, as they were foreign to the object of the fociety, and might misguide weak minds. There is not a witness adduced by the Prosecutor, who fays the contrary of this; and will you agree in opinion, that the charge in my indictment of circulating and recommending these books, has the slightest shadow of support? I will tell you the reason why I did not recommend Mr. Paine's books to the focieties in Scotland, and why I declared them foreign to their purpofe .-- Mr. Paine is a republican, and the spirit of republicanism breathes thro' all his writings. This is his darling fystem. The object of these societies was, by constitutional means, to procure

a reformation in the conflitution, and not a revolution, which implied its destruction; to have their long lost rights restored, but not the assumption of new rights derived from a different system. The people of Scotland were rapidly advancing to a true sense of their constitutional liberties: They demanded an application to them of the constitution, in its genuine principles, in which they beheld their fecurity confirmed, and their happiness established. That they might advance with more ardour in this cause, it was neceffary that they should know the constitution; what it had been in its vigour, and what it now is in its decay, in confequence of the corruption of men and of ages. What did I do to effectuate this legal and peaceable object? I did not present to them the splendid fabrics of ancient or of modern republics; I wished them to keep their eyes confined at home, to repair their own mansion rather than pull it down, and expose themselves to the inconveniencies and to the dangers of building upon new plans, the advantages or disadvantages of which could be only known by the uncertain experience of future ages. All the witnesses who speak of my conduct in the focieties tell you, that I recommended none but conflitutional measures; and that the only book which I recommended to them was Henry's Hiftory of England, as the best calculated, by its accuracy and plainness, to give them insight into the nature and progress of their conflitution.

If, in my library, in conversation upon the subject of government, the plans adopted by different political writers, had been discussed doubtless, I might have given opinions different from those, which I considered it my duty to advance, when acting under an established constitution, and employed in those measures, which it pointed out, to effectuate a redress of grievances. When Mr. Hume published his Essay upon a Persect Commonwealth, did not he, as strongly as he possibly could, declare that he thought this model preserable to the British constitution, even in its purest and best principles? But supposing Mr. Hume to have been a

member of the Society of the Friends of the People, what would have been his conduct in it? Would he have faid to men who were affembled to renovate conflictational rights only, You must give up the constitution entirely; it is defective and imperfect when compared to the offspring of my sancy; it is this last which you must adopt, and reject the former. But Mr. Hume would have held forth no such language; he would have said to them, 'The grievances of which you complain can be redressed by the energy of our own constitution; the redress of these grievances is the only object of your association. If then reformation is your object, and not revolution, however much you may approve of my speculative system, it must not operate upon you for a moment in practice.'

It was upon this principle that I refused to recommend the Works of Mr. Paine; that I faid, that they were foreign to the purpose, and might mislead weak minds. But was it ever before held criminal in an author, to publish what speculative systems of government he pleased, provided he confined himself in the retirement of speculation, and did not advance forth to the field of action? Was it a crime of Plato, under the Athenian republic, to compose his beautiful system, of one more perfect? Was it high treason in Cicero, under the Roman Commonwealth, to write those applauded works, which have been loft in the darkness of the Gothic night, and of which, a few fragments could be found only, when the morning of letters began to dawn upon Europe? Was Sir Thomas More led forth to the scaffold for composing his Utopia, Harrington proscribed for his Oceana, or Hume exiled for his Commonwealth? These authors indulged themselves in a liberty, which, if we now are to be deprived of, we must be left hopeless, and in dispair, as the attempt at amendment and reformation will be for ever precluded.

Upon the principle which I have explained, I should have thought it equally, if not more dangerous and inexpedient, to have recommended in a Society of the Friends

2

y

0

f

r

of the People, Plato's Republic, or Hume's Commonwealth. Inexpedien! because these writings might have had a tendency to lead these societies from their constitutional object; dangerous! because, if presented to weak understandings, and to servid imaginations, they might have influenced them to depart from those ends, which, by calmness, perseverance, and legal measures, they were sure at last to accomplish, in pursuit of chimeras, brilliant but delusive, always seemingly approaching, but always in reality slying same in away.

Gentlemen, I conclude my observations upon the subject of Mr. Paine's Works. You will remember it was only a fingle copy which I lent; the circumstances attending it, which, admitting the book to be as feditious and as treafonable as can be imagined, precluded the idea of a felonious circulation. You are the first Jury in Scotland, before whom Mr. Paine was either directly or indirectly brought; I trust, that you will act in such a manner as to do honour to yourselves, in doing justice to him and to me; that you will not attempt to annihilate political science, by condemning a work in its nature purely speculative; that, in this country where our chief glory has arisen from literature, you will not limit her researches, but indulge her in her unbounded flight, into every region where the materials of human happiness and human improvement can be collected. Above all I entreat you, that you would not fet the dangerous precedent of condemning a book, for fentences detached from the whole, when you do not confider the general tenor and scope. I tell you that if you do not earry away this book from your table to your chamber, read every line, and compare the whole in connection, you do me most flagrant injustice. Finally, I must tell you, that you are not bound by what any Jury has done in England .-- you are bound by the Law of Scotland; and this is the first trial of the kind which ever occurred here:--- Even the decisions in England have lost the respect due to them, although they were to be held out as precedents. We have feen Juries one day condemning the

author and the publishers, and upon the succeeding day we have heard other Juries pronounce a verdict of acquittal.

The next witness is Anne Fisher, a late servant in my father's house. Her evidence comes forward to you with peculiar distinction; careffed by the Prosecutor, and complimented by the Court ; --- her wonderful accuracy extolled, and her abilities admired. I will foon shew you, Gentlemen, that she has but few pretensions to that accuracy, of which the Lord Advocate spoke in such terms ; --- that her memory possesses a fingular quality, retentive to whatever may militate against me, but hesitating and confused to whatever may feem in my favour. What this domestic and well instructed spy has given in evidence against me, fills my mind with little concern; on the contrary it affords me much fatisfaction to find that when I was furrounded in the place where I expected most security, --- where all fuspicion was lulled asleep, my conduct was so guarded .---- What do I fay ?--- Guarded! Innocence has no need to be on the watch.

My conduct has been fuch, as even malice itself cannot condemn. But before I proceed to read her evidence from my notes, let me folemnly caution you against the dangerous precedent of giving credibility to witnesses of this kind, under accusations of this nature. The crime of sedition, if you attend to its essence, never can be committed within the walls of a private house. It supposes the highest publicity, the convocation of many. But if power shall fay, that words spoken in an unguarded moment within the facred walls of a family, amount to this crime, What will follow? Not those with whom you may have acted in your political life, and who, with the impression of the oath of God upon them, can best tell the truth; not those whom you may have admitted to your friendship, and to your confidence, and who best know the fecrets of your foul; but the meanest of your domestics, who could hardly approach your presence even in their menial duties, who, (if the expression may be used) to the members of a family are almost unknown; --- These! These! The meanest and the lowest --- will be brought forward to swear away your property your reputation, and your life: And such is this witness, who is adduced against me with such parade. O! Beware how ye fanctify the shameful proceeding. It is not me you wound alone, but you destroy the considence which subsisted between man and man; you lead, by your own hands, to the fire-sides of your children and your dearest retions, the siends of suspicion and of danger; and ye forever put an end to that reciprocity of communication, which enlivens and endears domestic society.

Now let us hear what this witness has to say? I will read you from my notes, her evidence. If I have erred in taking it down, you will please correct me.

[Here Mr. Muir read from bis notes, the evidence of Anne Fisher.]

The testimony of this witness seems to relate to two of the principal charges in the indictment; the first, to my having made speeches in public societies, vilifying the King and constitution. The second, to my having distributed and recommended seditious books, viz. Mr. Paine, the Patriot, the Paisley Declaration, and the Dialogue between the Governors and the Governed. Under these two different articles, let us examine the testimony of this witness. First, To my making seditious speeches; Secondly, To my circulating seditious books.

Every thing which the Profecutor could adduce against me, he has told you that he would adduce under the generality of the term Sedition, even although it should not be specified in the libel. The Court likewise has permitted him so to do; and in the case of this witness, you can see an exemplary illustration of this right, if it can be so called. Accused of making seditious harangues in public, this wretched girl is adduced to swear to what she says, she may have heard in private, when instructed to take her watch, she stood and marked to destruction those who fed her.

And what is the dreadful language she has heard me use

in my unguarded moments. I will repeat all that the fays, I will recall to your remembrance her express words, and upon them I will make no comment. " That if every body " had a vote, I would be made member for Calder ;-that " members of Parliament would have thirty or forty shillings " a-day, and that, in that case, there would be none but ho-" nest men to keep the constitution clear." You remember how the Public Profecutor enlarged and expatiated upon these words of this witness. Now, after labouring so long in vain, he fancied, he had got fomething against me. I fmiled at the indecency of his exultation; but next moment I blushed when I reflected he was a lawyer, and chief Counsel in Scotland for the Crown. Here faid the Profecutor, " you fee the cloven foot .- You fee French principles manifested. Here you discover the whole tincture of his foul. Members of Parliament to have thirty or forty shillings a-day for their attendance—to be honest men and, to keep the constitution clear! Is not this evidence, that he means to introduce in place of our House of Commons a National Convention, on French principles, and according to French forms."

Sorry am I to record the ignorance of this Lord Advocate of Scotland. Is there a man who has hardly opened the volume of the history of our constitution, who does not know, that until a very late period indeed, when corruption glided in, and tainted and poisoned the whole, members of Parliament received their wages from the hands of the people alone: O! how I speak it with joy when I view the past, with forrow; when I contemplate the present. they would have fcorned to have received the price of their attendance from any other hands, than from the hands of the people. Then the constitution possessed all its energies. Then towered the constitution in the strength of age, but with the bloom of youth. The people delegated none, but men whose virtues they knew, and none but men who possessed public virtues could be found to undertake the important mission. Look back I entreat you to all

d

t

0

t

d

e

1

the great and men good whom English History records. Turn your eyes to the Hampdens, to the Sidneys, to the Marville's of former times; to those men whom-but I stop; they received their wages directly from the people. Let the Lord Advocate pronounce their eulogium by his invective. If what I have faid be fedition, when overheard by that fpy Fisher, I shall next moment render myfelf infinitely more criminal; for I declare to you, that we never can expect a virtuous House of Commons a Constitutional House of Commons, a House of Commons, such as it once was, connected with no other interest than the interest of the people; until fuch time as its members shall receive a compensation for their attendance from the people and from no other quarter, be entitled to no other reward than the approbation of their own minds, and the applauses of their fellow-citizens, of whose rights, and of whose liberties. they have been the undaunted, uncorrupted defenders.

Fisher proceeds to state, that she has heard me say, That France was the most flourishing nation in the world, as they had abolished tyranny, and got a free government: And that, surther, she has heard me say, that the Constitution of this country was very good; but that many abuses had crept in, which required a thorough Reform.

Gentlemen, even in my most unguarded hours, this domestic spy cannot, by her evidence, support a tittle of the indictment, where it charges me with vilifying the King and Constitution.

Of her idle story of what I said concerning Courts of Justice, that they needed a reform, and that this Court in particular got their money for nothing, but pronouncing sentence of death upon poor creatures; and that their parade in coming into Glasgow was useless, I distain to take notice. Only, you will discover her exquisite art. This day, I am tried before this very Court, and she supposes, that, by inventing and throwing in a circumstance of this kind, in order to irritate the judges against me, she will more completely execute the wretched job she has undertaken to

perform. Were there not more servants in the house, who had infinitely better opportunities to hear my conversation; and must she, the lowest of them all, with whom she cannot pretend T had ever two minutes conversation, be singled out, and pitched upon for this drudgery?

This witness depones, that she heard me say, a republican form of government was the best, but a monarchical form of government, under proper restrictions, would be the best in this country. With all her art, and with all her memory, she cannot depone to a single circumstance which can strike against me. Even from her own account of my private conversation, it appears, that when I spoke of republican forms of government, it was purely in the abstract, without any allusion to this country, without expressing any wish to see any particular mode of them introduced; and that when I spoke of this country, I never deviated from the constitution, but said that a limited monarchy, under restrictions, was the best adapted to its interest.

The next article of fedition to which she depones, is of the most extraordinary kind, that I had fent her to employ an organist on the streets of Glasgow, to play the French tune of Ca Ira. What! was a tune, unintelligible to the multitude, to light up the flames of civil discord, and to be the forerunner of the revolution? Have you read the words of that popular fong? Could you discover a fingle illusion in them to the state of England? But supposing you did, there is a presumption from your station in life, that you are acquainted with the French language; but is it fo with the multitude of our weavers in that quarter of the country? Britain has always cherished freedom, and shall it be deemed criminal in me, to liften to the effusions of joy, poured out by a neighbouring people, on obtaining that first of human bleflings, which always constituted our peculiar distinction? But I know it well. The word Freedom is foon to be priocribed from our language; it carries alarm and fedition in the found. If I had caused to be recited one of those noble choruses of the Grecian drama, in which, with

0

ıl

e

r

1

y

the enthusiasm of liberty, the glories of the Republics of Athens or of Sparta were displayed, in language more than mortal, my offence would have been deemed the same with that of amusing myself, by having the national song of France. If it had been possible for me to have caused to be sung upon the Streets of Glasgow, one of the Psalms of the Hebrews, in the original language, in which the triumphs of the people and the destruction of tyrants are recorded in a strain of the highest poetical inspiration, the criminality would have been the same with total of listening to ca ira.

Let me abandon the subject. My political career has neither been obscure nor inglorious. It has undergone the severest scrutiny, which ever fell to the lot of man and after every engine has been employed, after heaven and earth have been moved, the tremenduous charge of sedition is to be supported, by the testimonies of domestic spies, swearing not to my serious occupation, but to the amusement of an idle hour, in listening to a foreign tune.

Let us next attend th the evidence of Fisher, as fao as it relates to feditious publications. She swears that I used to recommend to grdat many country people, who came to my father,s shop, to purchase and to read the works of Mr. Paine, as they composed a very good book. How can you believe the general averment? In the number of country people, she can only specify one John Barclay, and when you come to his evidence you will fee that he gives her the flattest contradiction. She depones that she has bought at two different times for my uncle Alexander Muir, at my defire, two copies, a copy of the first part, and a copy of the fecond Part of the Rights of Man. Can yau suppose, that if my intentions had been felonious have introduced into my own family? Can you imagine that I could wish to involve in the conflagration of my country, my nearest relation to whose property I may eventually succeed? Why is not Alexander Muir brought forward as a witness? Certain it is, that he was closely intimogated, before the in-

th

th

fin

fr

te

W

fe

P

r

h

t

d

d

v

H

1

quisition held by Mr. Sheriff Honeyman. But the Lord Advocate says, that his feelings would not permit him to examine the uncle against the nephew. Wonderful humanity! Goodness ever to be remembered and expolled! But I will the Lord Advocate the real reason why Alexander Muir was dragged from home like a felon, by the myrmidoms of power, carried before your friend Honeyman, and every art employed to wring from him, every domestic secret?

Speak then to us of your humanity, continue to speak to us of your feelings!

Fisher negt depones, that I much pressed John Muir to purchase Paine's works, that he was prevailed upon, and that she was fent accordingly to purchase them. Now you will hear the evidence of this man, immediately in expres, contradiction to this witness. She next tells you, that I advised another domestic, Wilson my hair dresser, to purchase Paine's works and keep them in his shop, in order to enlighten the people, and you will have Wilson immediately express himself far otherwise. She speaks of carrying some paper which she thinks was a Declaration of Rights, to a Printing Office to be corrected. Every thing in her evidence is made to tally with the indistment; I am accused of circulating a paper, entitled a Declaration of Rights by the Friends of Reform in Paisley. And this respectable perfonage! fo highly complimented by the Court, must likewife fwear fomething concerning this. Yet her tenacious memory utterly fails her. She thinks she can only remember what the title was, but nothing more. She knows nothing of the fubstance of the writing, but her evidence is to give a collour to the alligation of my having circulated that paper from Paisley; and to furnish ground for infinuating, that --- I myfelf was the author of it.

Gentlemen, the witness next swears to a fact which must rouze, as having domestics yourselves, your keenest indignation; vigilant has this family spy been in the course of her duty. She tells you what books she has seen lying upd

1-

it

75

i-

d

ic

0

0

d

u

S

y

e

a

1

on my table. Not contented with describing the title of the books, the must go more deeply, and she informs you that she saw in my house, a copy of Paine's writings upon fine paper, and one or two copies upon coarse! Gentlemen, from this moment, lock up your libraries. If they are extenfive, as you have heard mine is, there is no crime in the whole catalogue, of which by the testimony of your own fervants you may not be found guilty. The possession of Plato, of Harrington, or of Hume will mark you down for republicans. The misfortune of having the Alcoran of Mahomet will cause the shipwreck of your faith, and stigmatize you as the disciples of the conqueror of Mecca, well do I congratulate the Lord Advocate of Scotland. He has discovered a new region in the sphere of criminality. He will not merely be connected with a voyage of discovery But along with his affociates, he will make many voyages to this fertile land, and return home, loaded with many valuable cargoes. But feriousness becomes this place. Can it be believed that in the close of the eighth eenth century; that this night the fervants of a man, should ze examined concerning what particular books he may have in his house, and that the proof of the possession of particular books, may ruin his reputation, fweep away his property, and deprive him of his life.

Let us proceed on with this witness, if you possess the common feelings of men, every sentiment of indignation must be excited, not against her--she is to be pitied---her task has been a dreadful one, but against the manner in which this crime of sedition, has been attempted to be proved against me.

The libel charges me with feloniously circulating a Dialogue betwixt the Governors and the Governed, extracted from the ruins of Volney. This dialogue is narrated in the indictment, and it is charged to be felonious and feditious. There is not a word in this dialogue which is not true. Alas! in colours but too faithful, it, delineats the mournful history of fix thousand years; the crimes of despots, and

tel

th

th

to

ft

7

N

V

t

the artifices of impostors, to subjugate, and to blind the people. It is purely abstracted. It is entirely speculative. To no particular nation, much lefs to England does it allude; if to any, it must be to the old government of France. Yet, this dialogue is libelled as feditious and inflammatory. The truth is, the crime of fedition mnst be brought home against me; and the possession of any book as well as that of Volney, must be employed to substantiate it. Let us hear what the witness says concerning the felonious circulation. She heard me read it in the presence of my mother fifter, and fome other people, that I faid, it was very clever, and done by Velnew, one of the first witts in France. Who were those other people who were in company with my mother and fifter when I read it? Her accuracy, fo much extolled by the Court, totally fails her. But the propogation of fedition must not be confined, to a mother and a sifter; it must have a wider range; --- other people poefent! and founded upon his beloved generallity, the profecutor has reafon to argue, thera might have been a full compand, a numerous meeting, nay, an immense congregation,

You have heard the testimony of Fisher, and these are the arts, and of this class are the witnesses by which I am to fall. By receiving such testimony, you forever destroy domestic society; you blast the blossoms of family considence; you render all suspicions of all; each relation to behold in each a deadly soe. And is it not sufficient, to weep over public calamities, that wide spreading desolation which at present passes over the nations; but when we retire to our homes, we must be obliged to confine ourselves in a dismal solitude, guarded by suspicion and by danger, where no kindred affections can enter, and where no reciprocal confolation can be admitted.

I hasten over the evidence of the remaining witnesses against me, I am overcome by the exertions of this day; and you must be greatly exhausted.

The next is the evidence of Thomas Wilson, my hairdresser, and he is brought to bring up the rear of Fisher's e.

e,

7.

le

at

IS

10

F

0

restimony. He depones, That I asked him if he had bought Paine's Works, and that I advised him to get a copy of them, as a barber's shop was a good place to read in. Does this confirm the testimony of Fisher, who says, I desired him to buy that Work, and to keep it in his shop in order to enlighten the people. Mark the art of Fisher. How strongly she paints what mght seem to strike against me.—To enlighten the people! But does Wilson concur in this? No,—The person who should have best remembered, to whom the words were addressed.

Wilson tells you, that he bought a copy of the Address to the Addressers, but that was not by my advice. He even recollects the levity of a jest. An old man from the country, came in while he was dressing me, she remembers me saying, that this old man was a great reformer. If I ever said so, I wish it may not have been in jest, but in earnest, and that the sact with regard to the man was true. For I know of none who should be greater reformers, than those who are standing on the brink of the grave, and panting upon eternity. But I am afraid that this witness remembers only a piece of unmeaning gaiety. For he adds, the old man said I was only taunting him.

The conclusion of the evidence of Wilson, affords me high consolation. It is a proof of the innocency of my private life, in moments, when I could not possibly think of the affectation of integrity. He swears, that he has always heard me say that I would maintain the Constitution, and that I wished for peace, and good order, and good morals among the people; and that he never heard me speak against the King.

The next testimony is that of John Muir, he tells you that he had a conversation with me in September last, about Paine's book in my father's house, that I told him I had it not. Does this resemble the conduct of a man accused of distributing these books to all and sundry, and scattering them over every portion of the land? He says, I mentioned that I would send a servant who would get it for him;

this completely confute the testimony of Fisher, who affirms that I much pressed this man to purchase that book, and he tells you that he himself asked the loan of it?

Is not this a complete contradiction of her testimony?

The next witness is John Barclay. That old and venerable person whom you saw adduced as a witness by the Profecutor; and what does he fay in his testimony? That we were elders in the same parish, the parish of Calder, in which the lands of my father are fituated. He informs you that at the last election of a minister for that parish, a dispute had arisen, concerning the right of election, and that he voted upon the same fide of the question with myself. This introduced acquaintance, and acquaintance produced friendship. The Lord Advocate, in speaking of this virtuous and venerable old man, exclaimed with infolent contempt, Such men as these are the companions, and such men as these are the friends of Mr. Muir! Yes, I tell the Lord Advocate, I tell the aristocracy of Scotland, I glory more in the friendship of such an old, poor and virtuous man, than in the friendship of the highest titled peer, who derives the refources of his guilty grandeur from the calamities of the people; who wrings out a fplendid, but a miserable revenue from their forrow and distress, from their tears and their blood, which he squanders in dislipation, to the destruction of private virtue, and to the contamination of public morals.

Let us see then what Mr. Barclay says against me. That he asked my opinion concerning Paine's books, and that I told him he might purchase if he chose them, as they were printed, but that I afterwards said, it was not a book for us. Does Mr. Barclay's evidence support the criminal charge in the libel, of my advising people to read seditious books, and of my circulating them over the country? Does not Mr. Barclay's evidence corrohorate the testimony of every other witness? That for the reasons which I sully explained, I never recommended, Mr. Paine's works;

but that even when that work excited the greatest attention of the country, when mention of it was introduced into every company, and into every conversation, I uniformly expressed myself, that it was not a book for our purpose,--for our purpose, who were engaged in the cause of a Parliamentary and constitutional Reform. Is there a fingle witness brought forward by the Prosecutor, who has, in the smallest degree stated any cenversation of mine which was unconstional? Hear what Mr. Barclay fays, that he was frequently with Mr. Muir, and in his library, from which he borrowed fome books, that he had many converfations with him, and heard him fay, that this constitution was an excellent one, that he praifed the King and that he always spoke of order, regularity, and obedience to the ruling powers. In short, Gentlemen, all and each of the witnesses for the Prosecutor, use the same uniform language, attest that neither in public nor in private, ever a fingle expression dropped from me, which the most violent associator could confirme into guilt. The last witness whom I shall take notice is William Muir. The person whose religious principles, at first induced him rather to fuffer according to the eleg expression of the Lord Advocate, eternal imprisonment than to take the oath, until his scruples were removed by the Rev. Mr. Dunn. He fwears that in my father's house at Huntershill, I gave him eleven nnmbers of the Partriot, and a copy of the Political Progress. From these numbers of the Patriot several passages are quoted in the indictment. Of the Political Progress there is no mention made. And I maintain that every passage in the Patriot, quoted against me in the libel is highly con-The fentiments advanced in them may not found mufically fweet to the ears of corruption. They call upon you to arise and vindicate the purity of your constitution, to vindicate your long lost rights, and if my feeble voice could extend to the remotest corners of Scotland, I should resound the same sentiment in the same language. These numbers of the Patriot speak to you concerning septennial Parliaments, and I say to you that the act which converted triennial Parliaments into septennial, violated our holy constitution, tore the charter of our national liberties, ond paved the way for the inroads of a frightful despotism.

But this witness concurs with all the preceding witnesses, with regard to my conduct, and to my principles. He swears, That he does not remember to have heard me speak against government, that I did not advise unconstitutional measures; and that he heard me tell, how Old Sarum was represented. Old Sarum represented! And does not the friends of the Constitution weep, and does not the enemies of the Constitution smile, when they hear of such representation.

Before I speak to the third article of accusation, the reading in the Convention, the Address of the Society of United Irishmen of Dublin, permit me to make one observation of the manner in which the Profecutor spoke of the papers found in my custody. Do they correspond with the view which he presented of them? Are they the documents of correspondence with foreign or internal foes? And suppofing I had involved myfelf in a correspondence of that nature, do you believe I could be so infatuated, to retain in my possession that, which must have been attended with, to me, certain destruction. Among my papers, there is not one which can be construed into guilt. They chiefly confift of pamphlets unconnected with the politics of the day, and of the various publications of a fociety, pure and nntainted in its principles, of which I have the honour to be a member. But every thing must be ransacked to heap crimination upon my head. One of the letters which I had undertaken to deliver in Scotland, is addressed to the Reverend Fische Palmer, mark, cries the Lord Advocate, the company which this man keeps, --- who is Mr. Palmer? A person whom I have indicted for sedition, and who is to be tried in a few days at Perth! Unheard of cruelty !--- Unexampled infolence !--- What! Before this court, this jury,

this audience, do you attempt to prejudicate, and condemn Mr. Palmer in his absence, undefended, and without any possibility of defending himself? But exclaims the Lord Advocate, --- The feal upon the letter is a proof of the most attrocious guilt. What is it? Horrible to tell! It is the Cap of Liberty supported upon a spear, with the words " Ca Ira" above. All is confistent. When you attempt to banish the substance of freedom --- the shadow must follow! When a new coinage takes place, you have given a most excellent hint. The officers of the mint, will profit by the lesson, and they will no more scatter fedition through the land, by impressing upon our happiness, the figure of Britannia with a spear, mounted with the Cap of Liberty in her hand. But I am ashamed to enter into such trisles. If that letter had contained proofs of feditiod or of treason against me, Mr. Palmer, or the writer of it--- the seal ann the spear---and the Cap of Liberty, would have been its feeblest protection.

Gentlemen, I now come to the last charge against me. That of having read in a Convention of Delegates, the Address from the Society of United Irishmen at Dublin.

I admit the fact, and I glory in the admission. The Profecutor has reprefented that fociety, as a gang of mean and nifarious conspirators, and their diploma of my admission into their body is an aggravation of my crime. Let me tell the Lord Advocate of Scotland, that fociety stands too high for to be affected by his invective or to require the aid of my defence. I am a member of that fociety, and in the last moments of my life, to have been so, shall be my honour and my pride. The Lord Advocate has represented to you in general terms, that this address amounts almest to treason, but he durst not attempt to point out in his speech a fingle passage which could support the asperfion I maintain --- that every line of that address is strictly constitutional. You must carry the whole of it along with you into your chamber, and not judge of passages scandaloully mutilated in the indictment. The mutilation of the

very first paragraph of the address, is a proof of the fair, ness, and of the ingenuity of the Prosecutor. I beg leave to read how it stands in the indictment, and then to read what follows in the address itself.

an

a d

Th

der

un

the

tre

the

th

VO

B

W

th

it

C

66

61

6

1

f

"We take the liberty of addressing you, in the spirit of " civil union, in the fellowship of a just and common cause. "We greatly rejoice that the spirit of freedom moves over " the face of Scotland; that light feems to break from the " chaos of her internal government; and that a country fo " respectable for her attainments in science, in arts, and " in arms; for men of literary eminence; for the intelli-" gence and morality of her people, now acts from a con-" viction of the union between virtue, letters, and liberty: " and, now rifes to distinction, not by a calm, contented, " fecret wish for a Reform in Parliament, but by openly " actively, and urgently willing it, with the unity and e-" nergy of an embodied nation. We rejoice that you do " not confider yourselves as merged and melted down into " another country, but that in this great national question, " you are still --- Scotland. [See Appendix.]

This is the first paragraph libelled on. But, taking it as it is in the indictment. Is it not permitted to the United Irishmen at Dublin, to congratulate this unfortunate people, upon account of their former eminence in literature, in arts, and in virtue; --- and to express the wish, that they would still retain that enviable distinction? And, is that last and forlorn period now arrived, when those who express such a wish, must be called nefarious conspirators, and he who has been the organ of its communication must be branded with the epithets of, a wretch, of an oracle, of discord, of a fiend of sedition! The children of your children in after ages, will not forget this ever to be remembered night. But here let us stop. I have faid that this indictment, mutilated this paffage as it does every other passage in this Address; It dreaks off the paragraph at the words, "You are still Scotland." It breaks off in the middle of a fentence; and for what purpose--- to countenance ir.

ve

ad

of

fe.

er

he

fo

nd li-

n-

y:

d,

ly

e-

lo

to

1,

is

d

n

y

t

d

e

f

S

an Infinuation, that the United Irishmen in Dublin wished a diffolution of the Union betwixt Scotland and England. The Profecutor cuts off the remainder of the fentence which demonstrated the sense, in which the the United Irishmen understood the words "ftill Scotland," expressing folely, their idea of her still being distinguished by her former luftre. The words which are cut off from the sentence are in the address as follows: " That you are still Scotland --- e the land where Buchannan wrote, and Fletcher spoke, " and Wallace fought." Yes, I give my folemn affent, to this wish, and to this hope of the United Irishmen. voice shall resound, Scotland shall still be the land, where Buchanan has written, where Fletcher spoke, and where Wallace fought. Let us attend to the mutulation of another passage, in this extraordinary indictment. I shall read it es it stands.

"We will lay open to you our hearts. Our cause is your cause---If there is to be a struggle between us, let it be "which Nation shall be foremost in the race as mind; let '-his be the noble animosity kindled between us, who shall first attain that free constitution from which both are equi"distant, who shall first be the saviour of the empire."

"The fense of both countries with respect to the intoler-"able abuses of the constitution has been clearly manifest-"ed, and proves that our political situations are not dissimilar; that our rights and wrodgs are the same."

The passage libelled stops at the passage rights and wrongs are the same. But it forbears to take in the remainder, in which the similarity of our rights and wrongs in specified. The self enacted magistrates of Burgh's---the unequal representation of the People in Parliament---and the total privations in Scotland, of the shaddow of popular Elections.

Gentlemen, I will read over many passages of this Address, not merely because they are the production of an immortal pen, but because every word is regulated by the spirit of the constitution.

[Here Mr. Muir read the most of the Address; but we

forbear to infert the particular passages, as the whole is in

COI

ev

al

de

m

b

tl

0

F

f

the appendix.

Can you call this address seditious or inflammatory, which contains the following paffage. "If Government " has fincere regard for the fafety of the constitution, let "them in coincide with the People in the fpeedy Reform "of its abuses, and not by an obstinate adherence to them.

"drive that People into Republicanism."

Gentlemen, the Lord Advocate has represented the authors of this address, as the basest of mankind, and has expressly called them infamous wretches, who had fled from the punishment due to their crimes. What slander !--- what falle, unfounded flander !--- Has Doctor Drennan? Has Mr. Hamilton Rowan, whose names are at the head of this address, fled from punishment? " And they are infamous wretches!" If ever after ages shall hear of my name, I wish it may be recorded, that to these men I had the happinels of being known. To be honoured by the notice of Doctor Drennan is an ambition to which, in the most exalted fituation of life, I would fondly afpire. To have it faid, that I was the friend of Mr. Hamilton Rowan, I would confider it as the paffport to the only acquaintances whom I value, those who found their claim to distinction upon the only true bafis, their own virtues. Mr. Rowan is indeed indicted to fland trial in Ireland, upon a charge fimilar to my own; but he has not fled. He will boldly meet his acculation and let me fay, along with those who know him, that, although it is impossible to add any new lustre to his character, yet, as he has often come forward in the cause of individual humanity, he will display himfelf upon that occasion the firm, the intrepid, and I hope, the successful champion of the liberties of his native coun-

Gentlemen, I haften to a conclusion. Much yet remains to Tay. But after, upon my part, the unremitted exertions of fixteen hours, I feel myfelf nearly exhausted.

Look once more, I entreat you to the indictment, and

compare it with the evidenc.

The first charge against me is, that in public speeches, I vilified the King and Constitution. All the witnesses adduced against me attest, that, both in public and in private. even in my most unguarded moments, my language was always respectful the King, and that I alwass recommended the Constitution. I and bornage

The fecond charge against me, is, that of advising the people to read feditious books, and of distributing inflammatory publications among them: And you hear it proved by the unanimous voice of all the witnesses for the Crown, that I refused to recommend any books, and that the only one which I recommended, was Dr. Honry's History of England. You will not forget the circumstances in which I lent Freeland a copy of Mr. Paine's works, nor will you forget the manner in which the writings of that man were introduced as conversation with Wilson, Muir, and with Barclay. With regard to the other books and pamphlets mentioned in the libel, there is not any proof. William Muir has deponed, that I gave him one or two numbers of the Patriot, and some other pamphlets, whose titles I cannot remember. William Muir is but a fingle witness to this fact; and you know that, by the Laws of Scotland, the testimony of a single witness cannot claim any weight; but I frankly admit and acknowledge, that I gave him these numbers of the Patriot, and, if I were not now entirely overcome by fatigue, I could demonstrate to you, that, in these numbers, there is not a fingle fentiment unconstitutional or feditious.

I am accused of reading the Irish Address in the Convention, and of moving a folemn answer in return. That address is neitheir seditious, wicked, nor inflammatory. There is not a fentence of it, which I have not defended in your presence.

Gentlemen, you neither can do justice to me, nor to the country, if you condemn these different publications, upon in the libel. You must carry the whole of them along with you from this place. It is not upon detached passages you are to judge; but you must decide upon the whole.

sour Gentlemen of the Jury om belieungen flore you in never

This is now perhaps the last time, that I shall address my country. I have explored the tenor of my past life: Nothing shall tear from me the record of my departed days. The enemies of Reform have forutinized, in a manner hitherto unexampled in Scotland, every action I may have uttered; Of crimes, most foul and horrible, have I been accused .-- Of attempting to rear the flandard of civil war, and to plunge this land in blood, and to cover the land with desolation. At every flep, as the evidence of the Crown advanced, my innocency has brightened up. So far from inflaming the minds of men to fedition and to outrage--- all the witnesses have concurred, that my only anxiety was, to impress upon them the necessiry of peace, of good order, and of good morals. What then has been my crime? Not the lending to a relation a copy of Mr Paine's Works; not the giving away to another a few numbers of an innocent and conflitutional publication; but, for having dared to be, according to the measure of my feeble abilities, a ftrenuons and active advocate for an equal representation of the People --- in the House of the People ;--- For having dared to attempt to accomplish a measure by legal means, which was to diminish the weight of their taxes, and to put an end to the profusion of their blood. From my infancy to this moment, I have devoted myfelf to the cause of the People. It is a good cause .-- It shall ultimately prevail .- It shall finally triumph. Say then openly, in your verdict, if you do condemn me, which I prefume you will not, ... That it is for my attachment to this cause alone, and not for those vain and wretched pretexts stated in the indictment, and intended only to colour and difguise the real motions of my accusation. The time will come, when

men must stand or must fall by their actions; when all hus man pageantry shall cease; when the hearts of all shall be laid open. If you regard your most important interests, if you wish that your conscience should whisper to you words of consolation; or speak to you in the terrible language of remorfe.—Weigh well the verdict you are to pronounce. As for me, I am careless and indifferent to my fate. I can look danger—and I can look death in the face, for I am shielded by the consciousness of my own rectitude. I may be condemned to languish in the recesses of a dungeon—I may be doomed to ascend the scassold—Nothing can destroy my inward peace of mind, arising from the remembrance, of having discharged my duty.

When Mr. Muir fat down, an unanimous burst of ap-

plause was expressed by the audience.

When the acclamations had ceased, he arose and said,

I have omitted to take notice of the evidence adduced upon my part,—I am not going to detain you a moment longer,—To you I leave the import of the whole of that evidence.

men pegesarry that oracle; when the hearts of all thall be men pegesarry that oracle; when the hearts of all thall be test opened for your most important interests, if you well that your analyses inould whilper to you wond that your analyses in the testion to remorfe. - Weigh well the vertical you are to prepare of remorfe. - Weigh well the vertical you are to prepare and hock dangers and heat look death in the thore for any heat an failed by the conditioning of the conditional to have general to be the conditional to have be conditioned to the conditional to the received the desired that the first paths. - Nothing can deliver my inward peace of mind, ariting them the re-months are of having diluter ed my doty.

When Mr. Muiri fiel downs on unculosous burfl of the

When the acclumyions had confed, be anoth and fill, and have ordered to take a millioned at the cold side and accluded at a secure and expensive or expensive for your littless the import of the whole of that evidence.

TO THE THE THE LOW PROPERTY OF THE PARTY.

ned to with talks I tal

